

Predictors of Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity (MVPA) in African American Young Adolescents

Monica L. Baskin, Ph.D. · Herpreet Thind, M.P.H. ·
Olivia Affuso, Ph.D. · Lisa C. Gary, Ph.D., M.P.H. ·
Mark LaGory, Ph.D. · Sean-Shong Hwang, Ph.D.

Published online: 19 January 2013
© The Society of Behavioral Medicine 2012

Abstract

Background African American adolescents residing in the South are at increased risk for obesity and physical inactivity, yet our understanding of potential influences is limited.

Purpose Using an ecological framework, this study explored multilevel predictors (individual, family, home, and neighborhood environment) of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) among 116 African American adolescents (ages 12–16).

Methods Adolescents and their parents completed self-report surveys for hypothesized predictors. Youth physical activity was measured using accelerometry.

Results In multiple regression models, decreased daily MVPA was associated with female sex ($\beta=-24.27, p<0.0001$). Family social support ($\beta=1.07, p=0.004$) and adolescent self efficacy for PA ($\beta=6.89, p=0.054$) were positively associated with daily MVPA.

Conclusions Adolescent demographics along with family social support and self-efficacy influence younger African American adolescent physical activity. Further exploration of the complex interaction of multiple levels of influence is needed to develop appropriate interventions for this vulnerable group.

Keywords Physical activity · Adolescents · African American · Physical inactivity

Introduction

More than 23 million (nearly 1 in 3) children and adolescents in the United States are overweight or obese (BMI \geq 85th percentile for age and sex) [1, 2]. This rate is at least triple the rate from 40 years ago, and, in part, led to the recent declaration that this generation of children may be the first not to outlive their parents [3]. Child obesity is associated with a myriad of health conditions in youth that are linked to premature mortality and decreased quality of life including high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, high cholesterol, sleep apnea, depression/low self-esteem, and teasing [4–6]. Further, overweight children are at greater risk of becoming overweight adults [7, 8]. Additional risk factors for adult obesity include increased risk for certain cancers, cardiovascular disease, and orthopedic complications [8–10].

While rates of obesity have increased across sociodemographic populations, low-income and minority youth are at greatest risk [11]. Differences in socioeconomic status (SES) have been suggested as the cause of racial/ethnic disparities; however, recent studies suggest that this relationship is complex [12, 13]. For white youth, higher SES appears to have a protective effect on obesity risk, whereas rates of overweight and obesity among black youth (particularly girls) do not differ by SES [12, 13]. Data further suggest

M. L. Baskin (✉) · H. Thind
Division of Preventive Medicine,
University of Alabama at Birmingham,
1717 11th Avenue South, MT 618,
Birmingham, AL 35205, USA
e-mail: mbaskin@uab.edu

O. Affuso
Department of Epidemiology,
University of Alabama at Birmingham,
Birmingham, AL, USA

L. C. Gary
Department of Healthcare Organization and Policy,
University of Alabama at Birmingham,
Birmingham, AL, USA

M. LaGory · S.-S. Hwang
Department of Sociology,
University of Alabama at Birmingham,
Birmingham, AL, USA

geographical disparities, with overweight and obese children more often residing in southern states [14].

Efforts to reverse childhood obesity trends primarily focus on energy balance [15]. Increasing physical activity (PA) serves to raise levels of energy expenditure and in combination with reduced or stable energy intake, should result in weight loss. Regular PA in youth is associated with improved health and decreased obesity risk [16], more positive self-esteem [17], and improvements in academic performance and cognitive outcomes [18, 19]. Despite the potential benefits, most American youth do not meet recommended levels of PA [20]. Among youth ages 12–15, only 12 % of boys and 3 % of girls met the recommended 60 min of daily PA when measured objectively [20]. Differences by weekday vs. weekend have also been noted in some [21, 22], but not all studies [23]. Further, activity levels decline with age [24] with peak decline from age 15 to 18 years [25].

Disparities by race/ethnicity, sex, income, and geographic region are apparent for PA behaviors. More white than black youth [26] and more boys than girls [20, 25] meet recommendations. Further, youth from high-income households report greater PA than their lower-income peers, though this relationship may be complicated for African American youth [27]. With respect to US region, adolescents residing in the South report the lowest levels of PA [27, 28] and youth from the urban South have higher physical inactivity rates relative other regions and youth in the rural South [29].

Ecological models have been increasingly used to frame the likely multiple levels of influence (individual, social environment, built environment) on physical activity [30, 31]. At the individual level, adolescent demographics (e.g., age, sex, weight status) and self-efficacy have been suggested as influencing youth activity [32, 33]. At the family level, parental demographics (e.g., education, marital status) and social support are linked with activity among adolescent youth [32, 33]. Home environments that support sedentary behaviors such as screen time (e.g., television viewing, computer and videogame use) have been associated with physical activity [34, 35]. Existing literature of multilevel influences on physical activity; however, is limited by the lack of objectively measured physical activity [36] and participant racial/ethnic diversity [37]. A recent review [38] underscores the need to examine social and environmental correlates of adolescent PA among the most vulnerable youth.

With respect to African Americans, social/cultural beliefs may be particularly salient for understanding patterns of PA in youth. For example, purchasing multiple televisions (including for children's bedrooms) may be viewed as a sign of economic attainment, but may also support sedentary behaviors [39]. Further, neighborhood environments that are

perceived as unsafe [40, 41] have been suggested as relevant to youth PA. However, counter to expectations, African American youth with access to safe parks did not have greater odds of reduced inactivity in a recent study [42].

To better understand and reverse rising trends in childhood obesity by increasing PA, it is imperative to understand the most salient predictors of activity among groups at highest risk [38, 43]. To that end, this study expands the current literature by examining potential multilevel influences on objectively measured PA for particularly vulnerable youth. This study was designed to identify the demographic and sociocultural predictors of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) among African American young adolescents residing in an urban southern city. Youth ages 12–16 were targeted in this study to better understand how to potentially intervene prior to significant decline in PA.

Methods

Setting

The study took place in metropolitan Birmingham, AL, the largest city in the state, where 73.4 % of residents are African American, 52.4 % of adults have been educated beyond high school, 24 % of youth live in single-parent homes, and 32.7 % of households with children have annual incomes below the poverty level [44]. With a history of discrimination and segregation leading to major events in the Civil Rights Movement, recent years have seen significant urban renewal investment including the development of parks and greenways supporting active living.

Study Sample

African American adolescents aged 12 to 16 years and their parents were recruited using flyers at local recreational centers, churches, community centers, and newspapers and word of mouth advertising. Only children who self-identified as African American and did not have any physical conditions limiting mobility or ability to engage in MVPA were included in the study. Informed consent was obtained from the parents and adolescents provided their assent to participate in the study. The Institutional Review Board of the University of Alabama at Birmingham approved all study materials and methods. Parents received a \$10 gift card for completing parental surveys. Youth received a \$10 gift card for completing youth surveys and a \$25 gift card for wearing and returning the accelerometer. Incentives were provided to compensate for their time and transportation to participate in this observational study.

Data Collection

Using a cross-sectional design, we collected self-report data from adolescents and their parents on variables previously linked to physical activity in youth across multiple levels of influence (individual, family, home environment, neighborhood environment) during the 2011 spring semester of school. Adolescents and parents completed self-administered paper surveys individually. A brief description of each measure is below.

Individual Level

Adolescent Demographic Characteristics Youth provided demographic information such as age, sex, and grade level. In addition, youth height and weight were measured by trained research staff to calculate body mass index (BMI). Height (to the nearest 0.1 cm) was measured without shoes using a portable stadiometer. Weight was measured (to the nearest 0.1 kg) with outer clothing removed using a digital scale. Adolescent weight status was classified as normal (<85th percentile), overweight (85th percentile \leq BMI < 95th percentile), or obese (\geq 95th percentile).

Self-Efficacy Self-efficacy for physical activity was assessed using an eight-item instrument [45] focusing on confidence in one's ability to be physically active in spite of common barriers (e.g., weather, social support). The tool has been validated for use with black and white adolescents [45, 46] and has an internal consistency of 0.80 [47]. Items such as: "I can be physically active during my free time on most days" are rated on a five-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 = *disagree a lot* to 5 = *agree a lot*. A total score was calculated by taking the sum of the eight items and was used for analytic purposes.

Family Level

Parent Demographic Characteristics Parents provided demographic information such as age, sex, highest level of education, annual household income, and marital status.

Family Social Support for Physical Activity A five-item scale from the Amherst Health and Activity Study [48] was completed by participating parents. They reported the frequency of the following activities in a typical week, "watch your child participate in physical activity or play sports", "encourage your child to do sports or physical activity", "provide transportation to a place where your child can do physical activity or play sports", "do a physical activity or play sports with your child" and "tell your child that he/she is doing well in physical activity or sports".

Response options included, "never", "1–2 days", "3–4 days", "5–6 days" and "everyday". The tool has been used with diverse groups and has strong psychometric properties with an internal consistency of 0.78 and 1-week test-retest reliability of 0.81 [48]. A total score was calculated by taking the sum of the five items and was used for analytic purposes.

Home Environment Level

Media Equipment in Home Parents completed the media equipment checklist from the Home Environment Inventory for Physical Activity and Media Equipment [49], a self-report inventory of both the availability and accessibility of equipment and other resources that may support family members' participation in activity and sedentary behaviors (e.g., TVs, computers, videogame players). This checklist has been validated with parents of children ages 10–17 from racially/ethnically diverse backgrounds [49]. Validity of the instrument was rated as good to excellent (ICC=0.71 to 0.96) [49]. A sum of all TVs, desktop and laptop computers, and video game players (including handheld) was calculated to include in the data analysis.

Neighborhood Environment Level

Perceived Safety Parents completed the safety subscale of a questionnaire to assess physical environmental factors and transportation associated with adolescent physical activity [50]. Eight statements were rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree." Sample items included: "It is safe to walk or jog in my neighborhood" and "There is a lot of crime in my neighborhood." Item-specific reliability for the 8 items ranged from 0.37 to 0.52 when previously used with urban minority populations [50]. A total score was calculated by taking the sum of the eight items and was used for analytic purposes.

Outcome

Physical Activity Objective measurements of adolescents' physical activity were obtained using Actigraph uniaxial accelerometers (Model GT1M; Actigraph Manufacturing Technology Inc., Pensacola, FL, USA). Accelerometers were initialized with epoch length set at 1 min, consistent with methodology from prior studies of adolescents [20]. At the study meeting, trained research staff demonstrated the use and purpose of the accelerometers. Adolescents were instructed to wear the accelerometers around their waist using an elastic belt for seven consecutive days, including

nights and while participating in recreational or sport activities. They were asked to take it off only for bathing or swimming. Youth were also provided a log to record specific activities by day and time. During the week, at least two reminders were sent through text message, email or phone call as per the participants' desired method of contact. At the end of the week, youth returned the logs and accelerometers. Accelerometry data were uploaded and analyzed using ActiLife version 5.0 software. Data were checked for validity (i.e., counts were present for at least 3 days with at least 8 h of recording time per day) and physical activity was calculated according to currently recommended cut-points for light (2 to <4 METs), moderate (4 to 6.9 METs) and vigorous (≥ 7 METs) physical activity for youth age 6–17 to adjust for higher resting energy expenditure [20]. Logs were coded for activity type using established methodology [51].

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SAS 9.2 [SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC]. Descriptive statistics were generated for all variables to characterize the study sample. Cross tabulations using the χ^2 statistic or ANOVA depending on the measure were generated to examine unadjusted sex differences in socio-demographics, social/cultural factors, and physical activity. The χ^2 statistic detects differences in the categorical variables while ANOVA is used for continuous variables. Multivariate linear regression models were estimated to identify the predictors of physical activity in our study sample. Multivariate linear regression is an appropriate statistical model that captures the continuous nature of each adolescent's physical activity in METs (metabolic equivalent tasks). Multivariate linear regression models were estimated for the following outcomes: (1) average minutes of daily MVPA for the total week, (2) average minutes of daily MVPA for weekday, (3) average minutes of daily MVPA for weekend day, and (4) average minutes of daily weekly MVPA by sex. Prior to estimating the linear regression models, the distributions of the outcome variables were inspected for skewness towards zero which is common with MVPA measures. None of the outcome variables were skewed towards zero, thus no further adjustment was made. Statistically significant bivariate correlation calculations between independent variables and MVPA were selected as independent variables in the regression models. Statistical significance was evaluated at $p < 0.05$ for all analyses. Standardized β values are presented.

Results

One hundred-sixteen adolescents and their parents consented and enrolled in the study. Table 1 shows select

demographic characteristics of the sample. There were 54 boys and 62 girls and the mean age of the total sample was 14.0 years ($SD = 1.34$). Boys were slightly older than girls ($p < 0.05$). Parents of these youth were well-educated with 84 % reporting at least some college education. Most youth lived in married households (51 %) and 26 % of households had an annual household income making them eligible for free/reduced lunch.

Mean daily minutes of adolescent MVPA are presented in Table 1. The mean daily minutes of MVPA for all days of the week was 40.4 ($SD = 27.5$); however, girls had significantly lower levels of MVPA than boys ($p < 0.01$). Mean daily minutes of MVPA also varied by weekday vs. weekend day ($p < 0.01$). For the total sample, mean daily MVPA on weekdays was 43.7 ($SD = 27.0$) and mean daily MVPA on weekend days was 37.1 ($SD = 35.8$). Again, girls were involved in significantly less MVPA for both weekdays and weekend days ($p < 0.01$). Twenty-three percent of the sample met daily recommendations of MVPA, with nearly three times as many boys than girls meeting this goal ($p < 0.01$).

The results of the multivariate logistic regression models for adolescent physical activity are presented in Tables 2 and 3. In the model with average daily minutes of MVPA per week (Table 2), three variables were significant predictors of MVPA. Female sex was a negative, statistically significant predictor of MVPA for the total week ($p < 0.0001$). The estimated number of daily minutes spent in MVPA per week decreased by 24.27 min/day for girls vs. boys. Further, for every one unit increase in family social support, daily MVPA significantly increased by 5.46 min/day ($p = 0.006$). Lastly, a unit increase in adolescent self-efficacy significantly increased daily MVPA by 6.89 min/day. Adolescent age, parent education, parent marital status, weight status, parental perceptions of neighborhood safety, and media equipment in the home were not significant predictors of mean daily minutes of MVPA for study participants.

There was considerable variability in predictors of MVPA on weekday vs. weekend day models (Table 2). Some of the variables significant in the total week MVPA model were significant for weekday MVPA. Female sex was associated with a significant decrease of 25.69 min of daily MVPA on weekdays ($p < 0.0001$). In addition, for each unit increase in family social support daily weekday MVPA significantly increased by 0.86 min/day ($p = 0.0164$). On the other hand, adolescent self-efficacy for PA was not a significant predictor of daily MVPA on weekdays. For weekend MVPA, female sex, family social support, and self-efficacy for PA were statistically significant predictors. Being female was associated with a 22.86-min decrease of daily MVPA on weekend days ($p = 0.0003$). Further, for every one unit increase in family social support, weekend MVPA significantly increased by 1.28 min/day ($p = 0.013$). Lastly, in this model, a one unit increase in adolescent self-efficacy for PA was associated with an estimated 10.32 increase in daily minutes of weekend PA ($p = 0.04$).

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants

	Total (<i>n</i> =116)	Boys (<i>n</i> =54)	Girls (<i>n</i> =62)
Adolescent age, mean years (SD)*	14.0 (1.3)	14.2 (1.3)	13.8 (1.4)
Child weight status			
Overweight, ^a <i>n</i> (%)	25 (21.6)	10 (19.6)	15 (23.1)
Obese, ^b <i>n</i> (%)	28 (24.1)	9 (17.7)	19 (29.2)
Parent education ^c			
8th grade or less, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (2.6)	3 (5.6)	0 (0.0)
Some high school, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (0.9)	1 (1.9)	0 (0.0)
Graduated high school or GED, <i>n</i> (%)	10 (8.7)	6 (11.1)	4 (6.6)
Vocational or technical school, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (3.5)	2 (3.7)	2 (3.3)
Started college, <i>n</i> (%)	41 (35.7)	19 (35.2)	22 (36.1)
Graduated college, <i>n</i> (%)	31 (27.0)	13 (24.1)	18 (29.5)
Started post graduate work, <i>n</i> (%)	11 (9.6)	5 (9.3)	6 (9.8)
Completed post graduate degree, <i>n</i> (%)	14 (12.2)	5 (9.3)	9 (14.8)
Parent marital status			
Single, <i>n</i> (%)	35 (30.2)	23 (42.6)	12 (19.4)
Married, <i>n</i> (%)	59 (50.9)	25 (46.3)	34 (54.8)
Living together, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (3.5)	0 (0.0)	4 (6.5)
Separated, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (4.3)	2 (3.7)	3 (4.8)
Divorced, <i>n</i> (%)	12 (10.3)	4 (7.4)	8 (12.9)
Widowed, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.6)
Annual household income			
≤\$15,000, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (8.1)	7 (14.6)	2 (3.2)
\$15,001 to \$30,000, <i>n</i> (%)	18 (16.2)	10 (20.8)	8 (12.7)
\$30,001 to \$45,000, <i>n</i> (%)	29 (26.1)	13 (27.1)	16 (25.4)
\$45,001 to \$60,000, <i>n</i> (%)	21 (18.9)	7 (14.6)	14 (22.2)
\$60,001 to \$75,000, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (8.1)	3 (46.2)	6 (9.5)
>\$75,000, <i>n</i> (%)	25 (22.5)	8 (16.7)	17 (27.0)
Adolescent mean daily minutes MVPA, ^d (SD)			
Total week**	40.4 (27.5)	54.0 (29.0)	29.4 (20.7)
Weekdays**	43.7 (27.0)	57.9 (27.1)	32.2 (20.7)
Weekend days**	37.1 (35.8)	50.1 (42.3)	26.6 (25.3)
Adolescents Meeting Daily MVPA ^c , ** Recommendations, <i>n</i> (%)	23 (19.8)	17 (33.3)	6 (9.2)

For continuous variables, *t* test was performed to assess differences by sex. For categorical variables, Chi-square tests were performed to assess differences by sex

^aOverweight (85th percentile ≤ BMI < 95th percentile)

^bObese (BMI ≥ 95th percentile)

^cMissing data for one female participant

^dModerate (4–6.9 METs) and Vigorous (≥ 7 METs)

^eCalculated as ≥ 300 min of MVPA per week (≥ 60 min/day, 7 days/week)

p* < 0.05; *p* < 0.01

With respect to sex-specific models (Table 3), adolescent self-efficacy for PA was the only statistically significant predictor of daily MVPA for boys. For each unit increase of self-efficacy for PA, there was a 16.91-min increase in daily MVPA (*p* = 0.024). For girls, family social support was the only statistically significant predictor average daily MVPA for the total week. For every one unit increase in family social support, there was a statistically significant increase of 1.65 min/day of MVPA (*p* < 0.0001).

Conclusions

Nearly two decades since the 1996 Surgeon General's Report on Physical Activity and Health [52], there has been no

change in key findings regarding adolescent physical activity. Most youth, in general, fail to meet recommended amounts of physical activity [20]. Further, racial/ethnic minority, particularly black youth, are still less physically active than their white counterparts and boys are more likely than girls to participate in regular physical activity [20, 53]. Moreover, physical activity levels dramatically decline with age [24].

This study was unique in its exploration of multiple levels of potential influence on adolescent physical activity (individual, family, home environment, and neighborhood environment). Prior studies suggest links between these key factors and PA among children and adolescents, however, much of the existing in the literature did not include findings specific to African American

Table 2 Estimation results of multivariable linear regression models- predictors of adolescent’s physical activity, measured using average daily minutes of MVPA

Variable	Average minutes of daily MVPA total week		Average minutes of daily MVPA weekdays		Average minutes of daily MVPA weekend days	
	Estimate	<i>p</i> value	Estimate	<i>p</i> value	Estimate	<i>p</i> value
Intercept	15.6064	0.4836	30.4654	0.1593	0.7474	0.9809
Adolescent age	−0.0249	0.2888	−0.0377	0.0982	−0.0121	0.7140
Sex ^a	−24.2748	<0.0001	−25.6861	<0.0001	−22.8635	0.0003
Parent education ^b	0.0189	0.4192	0.1098	0.3832	0.0180	0.5844
Parent marital status ^c						
Not married	−27.7837	0.9825	1.6582	0.6949	−1.8487	0.7623
Weight status ^d						
Overweight	2.7870	0.6202	−2.3335	0.6693	7.9076	0.3168
Obese	−4.6432	0.3995	−6.4102	0.2312	−2.8763	0.7102
Neighborhood safety	−0.3683	0.4737	0.0053	0.9916	−0.7418	0.3043
Media equipment in home	−0.0016	0.5188	−0.0033	0.1654	0.0001	0.9674
Family social support	1.0657	0.0037	0.8563	0.0164	1.2752	0.0134
Adolescent self-efficacy	6.8884	0.0544	3.4582	0.3202	10.3186	0.0402

MVPA Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity (≥ 4 METs)

^a Reference group = boys

^b Based on 8 categories ranging from <8th grade to professional degree

^c Reference group = married

^d Reference group = normal weight (<85th percentile)

younger adolescents living in the South (a segment of the population with particular vulnerability to obesity and physical inactivity).

Many of our findings are consistent with prior studies. We found overall limited physical activity among youth, though findings here are slightly higher than previously

Table 3 Estimation results of multivariable linear regression models—predictors of adolescent’s physical activity by sex, measured using average minutes of daily MVPA

Variable	Average minutes of daily MVPA total week Boys		Average minutes of daily MVPA total week Girls	
	Estimate	<i>p</i> value	Estimate	<i>p</i> value
Intercept	−3.8427	0.9308	20.9194	0.5348
Adolescent age	−0.0394	0.1571	−1.0837	0.5084
Parent education ^a	−2.4341	0.2454	0.0146	0.4232
Parent marital status ^b				
Not married	−3.6200	0.6527	1.1703	0.7985
Weight status ^c				
Overweight	14.3432	0.1563	−3.4302	0.5608
Obese	0.5193	0.9636	−6.8209	0.2273
Neighborhood safety	−0.5124	0.5354	−0.4617	0.4465
Media equipment in home	0.0008	0.8072	−0.0232	0.0678
Family social support	0.3401	0.5799	1.645	<0.0001
Adolescent self-efficacy	16.9064	0.0236	2.5369	0.4483

MVPA Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (≥ 4 METs)

^a Based on eight categories ranging from <8th grade to professional degree

^b Reference group = married

^c Reference group = normal weight (<85th percentile)

reported objectively measured PA with this age group [20]. Mean daily MVPA for the total sample was about two thirds of recommended levels (40.4 min/day), but the mean level for girls was significantly less (29.4 min/day). Like many other studies [21, 37], our most consistent finding across all models related to higher levels of MVPA for boys than girls. This may in part be explained by differences in types of physical activities youth participated in. Similar to prior studies [37], girls in our study reported engaging in more activities related to personal care, leisure (e.g., shopping), and household chores. Boys, on the other hand, reported more organized school and after-school activities (e.g., marching band, sports). Differences in types of activities correspond to differences in intensity of physical activity and may thus influence levels of MVPA.

Like our study, Rodriguez and colleagues in a study of physical activity among a racially/ethnically diverse sample of adolescents, found that activity was lower during weekends than on weekdays [54]. Findings may support suggestions that youth may get a large proportion of their physical activity during school days and that racial/ethnic minority youth, in particular, may see weekend time as a time for rest and relaxation rather than a prime opportunity to engage in leisure-time activity [55, 56]. Such beliefs may be passed down from parents to youth and are likely linked to historical vestiges in which blacks worked tirelessly 6 or more days per week in labor intense position (e.g., agriculture, other unskilled positions), particularly in the southern states of the US [57, 58].

Our findings are also in-line with work showing statistically significant positive relationships between family social support and physical activity [33]. Like some prior research [59] we found no relationship between home equipment and PA; however, others have shown a relationship between measures of screen time (e.g., media equipment in the home) and adolescent physical activity [60]. Note, however, that previous studies suggest that links between media use and physical activity are stronger for children, tending to weaken in adolescence [34, 61] which may account for limited impact of this variable in our analysis.

Additional findings from the current study contradict prior work. We found no relationship between parent education or parent marital status and physical activity when others have noted a positive relationship for these variables [33]. Part of the lack of findings may be due to the limited variability in this variable in our sample (e.g., most parents had at least some college education and were married). Likewise, in prior studies, concerns about neighborhood safety and/or crime have been associated with decreases in child physical activity in some [62–64], but not all studies [40, 41]. In the current study, there was no association between parental perceptions of neighborhood safety and adolescent physical activity. This inconsistency may be a

result of challenges with measurement of this construct including subjective and contextual nature of a participant's definition of safety.

The current study contributes to the relative dearth of studies exploring multilevel influences on African American adolescent physical activity. Strengths of the study include its grounding in an ecological model, its focus on a particularly vulnerable population, good representation of both girls and boys in the study sample, and use of an objective measure of physical activity (i.e., accelerometry). The study was not without its limitations and these should be considered in interpretation of findings presented. First, the study involved a limited sample size and limited the number of variables we could explore in the multivariate models to maintain adequate power (0.80). Second, there is a lack of consensus on the optimal observation length of physical activity in adolescents [65]. Among young children, it is clearer that shorter intervals are needed (e.g., 30 s); however, this is not as clear with adolescents. We utilized a longer epoch (1 min) to compare our results with nationally representative data [20] which may have underestimated vigorous activity while overestimating moderate physical activity. Third, data on neighborhood safety and family support were collected from parents. Looking at potential differences in perceptions of these factors by adolescents and their parents and adding objective environmental measures may enhance this line of research. Fourth, this study only assessed child physical activity. Including objective measurement of parental physical activity is warranted in future studies. Fifth, the data collected here were cross-sectional and do not suggest causality. Longitudinal studies of multilevel influences are needed to better understand early antecedents of physical activity later in life. Finally, caution must be used in generalizing findings from this study to other groups. Participants in this study live in a mid-size urban southern city and reside in households with well-educated parents. Findings for youth from smaller or larger urban cities, rural areas, or living in households where parents are less educated may differ from participants in this study.

While this study confirmed some and contradicted other determinants of adolescent physical activity in African American adolescents living in the South, future studies should further explore potential social and cultural influences on physical activity among these youth at highest risk of obesity, physical inactivity, and associated diseases and conditions. There is a need to develop and evaluate more sophisticated theoretical models to better understand and address the complex issues of inactivity among minority youth. An expanded framework, like that proposed by the African American Collaborative Obesity Network [66], recognizes the roles of historical and social factors, cultural values and beliefs, and physical and economic environments

in behaviors determining energy balance and may shed more light on stronger predictors of health behaviors among African Americans. Further, innovative interventions that address the relevant contextual variables of African American adolescents are needed to start and sustain efforts to reverse the rising trends of child and adolescent overweight and obesity.

Acknowledgements We thank the study research participants and research assistants (Sh'Nese Townsend, Haley Heckman). This study was funded by Active Living Research (ALR)/Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) Grant # 65659.

Conflict of interest statement The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

References

- Bethell C, Simpson L, Stumbo S, Carle AC, Gombojav N. National, state, and local disparities in childhood obesity. *Health Aff.* 2010;29:347-356.
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, Lamb MM, Flegal KM. Prevalence of high body mass index in US children and adolescents, 2007–2008. *JAMA.* 2010;303:242-249.
- Olshansky SJ, Passaro DJ, Hershow RC, et al. A potential decline in life expectancy in the United States in the 21st century. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:1138-1145.
- Abrams P, Levitt Katz LE. Metabolic effects of obesity causing disease in childhood. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes.* 2011;18:23-27.
- Young-Hyman D, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Yanovski SZ, et al. Psychological status and weight-related distress in overweight or at-risk-for-overweight children. *Obesity.* 2006;14:2249-2258.
- Dietz WH. Health consequences of obesity in youth: Childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics.* 1998;101:518-525.
- Guo SS, Roche AF, Chumela WC, Gardner JD, Siervogel RM. The predictive value of childhood body mass index values for overweight at age 35years. *Am J Clin Nurs.* 1994;59:810-819.
- Srinivasan SR, Bao W, Wattigney WA, Berenson GS. Adolescent overweight is associated with adult overweight and related multiple cardiovascular risk factors: The Bogalusa Heart Study. *Metabolism.* 1996;45:235-240.
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer Statistics, 2008. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2008;58:71-96.
- Mensah GA, Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Greenlund KJ, Croft JB. State of disparities in cardiovascular health in the United States. *Circulation.* 2005;111:1233-1241.
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, et al. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999–2004. *JAMA.* 2006;295:1549-1555.
- Gordon-Larsen P, Adair LS, Popkin BM. The relationship of ethnicity, socioeconomic factors, and overweight in US adolescents. *Obes Res.* 2003;11:121-129.
- Wang LY, Yang Q, Lowry R, Wechsler H. Counterpoint: Uncertainty in the economic analysis of school-based obesity prevention programs: Urgent need for quality evaluation. *Obesity.* 2006;14:1483-1484.
- Mosley JM, Ulmer A. *Where do overweight children live? Report 02-2005.* Retrieved April 3, 2007, from <http://www.truman.missouri.edu/ipp/>
- Steinbeck KS. The importance of physical activity in the prevention of overweight and obesity in childhood: A review and an opinion. *Obes Rev.* 2001;2:117-130.
- Rowland TW. Promoting physical activity for children's health. *Sports Med.* 2007;37:929.
- Schmalz DL, Deane GD, Birch LL, Davison KK. A longitudinal assessment of the links between physical activity and self-esteem in early adolescent non-Hispanic females. *J Adolesc Health: Off Publ Soc Adolesc Med.* 2007;41:559-565.
- Fedewa AL, Ahn S. The effects of physical activity and physical fitness on children's achievement and cognitive outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2011;82:521-535.
- Nelson MC, Gordon-Larsen P. Physical activity and sedentary behavior patterns are associated with selected adolescent health risk behaviors. *Pediatrics.* 2006;117:1281-1290.
- Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, et al. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008;40:181-188.
- Jago R, Anderson CB, Baranowski T, Watson K. Adolescent patterns of physical activity: Differences by sex, day, and time of day. *Am J Prev Med.* 2005;28:447-452.
- Treuth MS, Catellier DJ, Schmitz KH, et al. Weekend and weekday patterns of physical activity in overweight and normal-weight adolescent girls [ast]. *Obesity.* 2007;15:1782-1788.
- Harrington D, Dowd K, Bourke A, Donnelly A. Cross-sectional analysis of levels and patterns of objectively measured sedentary time in adolescent females. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2011;8:120.
- Nader PR, Bradley RH, Houts RM, McRitchie SL, O'Brien M. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity from ages 9 to 15 years. *JAMA.* 2008;300:295-305.
- Sallis J. Age-related decline in physical activity: A synthesis of human and animal studies. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32:1598-1600.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: *Percentage of students who were physically active for a total of 60minutes or more per day on five or more of the past seven days.* Retrieved May 7, 2008, from <http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/yrbss/index.asp>
- Gordon-Larsen P, McMurray RG, Popkin BM. Determinants of adolescent physical activity and inactivity patterns. *Pediatrics.* 2000;105:E83.
- Springer AE, Hoelscher DM, Kelder SH. Prevalence of physical activity and sedentary behaviors in US high school students by metropolitan status and geographic region. *J Phys Act Health.* 2006;3:365.
- Liu J, Bennett KJ, Harun N, Probst JC. Urban–rural differences in overweight status and physical inactivity among US children aged 10–17 years. *J Rural Health.* 2008;24:407-415.
- Egger G, Swinburn B. An “ecological” approach to the obesity pandemic. *BMJ.* 1997;315:477-480.
- Giles-Corti B, Timperio A, Bull F, Pikora T. Understanding physical activity environmental correlates: Increased specificity for ecological models. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2005;33:175-181.
- Gustafson SL, Rhodes RE. Parental correlates of physical activity in children and early adolescents. *Sports Med.* 2006;36:79-97.
- van der Horst K, Oenema A, Ferreira I, et al. A systematic review of environmental correlates of obesity-related dietary behaviors in youth. *Health Educ Res.* 2007;22:203-226.
- Marshall SJ, Biddle SJH, Gorely T, Cameron N, Murdey I. Relationships between media use, body fatness and physical activity in children and youth: A meta-analysis. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2004;28:1238-1246.
- Salmon J, Tremblay MS, Marshall SJ, Hume C. Health risks, correlates, and interventions to reduce sedentary behavior in young people. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;41:197-206.
- Kelly EB, Parra-Medina D, Pfeiffer KA, et al. Correlates of physical activity in black, Hispanic, and white middle school girls. *J Phys Act Health.* 2010;7:184-193.

37. Patnode C, Lytle L, Erickson D, et al. The relative influence of demographic, individual, social, and environmental factors on physical activity among boys and girls. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2010;7:79.
38. Lawman HG, Wilson DK. A review of family and environmental correlates of health behaviors in high-risk youth. *Obesity.* 2012;20:1142-1157.
39. Kumanyika SK. Environmental influences on childhood obesity: Ethnic and cultural influences in context. *Physiol Behav.* 2008;94:61.
40. Davison K, Lawson C. Do attributes in the physical environment influence children's physical activity? A review of the literature. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2006;3:19.
41. Salmon J, Timperio A. Prevalence, trends and environmental influences on child and youth physical activity. *Med Sport Sci.* 2007;50:183-199.
42. Babey SH, Hastert TA, Yu H, Brown ER. Physical activity among adolescents: When Do parks matter? *Am J Prev Med.* 2008;34:345.
43. Pratt CA. Findings from the 2007 Active Living Research Conference: Implications for future research. *Am J Prev Med.* 2008;34:366.
44. US Census Bureau: American FactFinder. (Vol. Available at <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>), 2010.
45. Motl RW, Dishman RK, Trost SG, et al. Factorial validity and invariance of questionnaires measuring social-cognitive determinants of physical activity among adolescent girls. *Prev Med.* 2000;31:584-594.
46. Dishman RK, Motl RW, Saunders RP, et al. Factorial invariance and latent mean structure of questionnaires measuring social-cognitive determinants of physical activity among black and white adolescent girls. *Prev Med.* 2002;34:100-108.
47. Motl RW, Dishman RK, Saunders RP, Dowda M, Pate RR. Perceptions of physical and social environment variables and self-efficacy as correlates of self-reported physical activity among adolescent girls. *J Pediatr Psychol.* 2007;32:6-12.
48. Trost SG, Sallis JF, Pate RR, et al. Evaluating a model of parental influence on youth physical activity. *Am J Prev Med.* 2003;25:277-282.
49. Sirard J, Nelson M, Pereira M, Lytle L. Validity and reliability of a home environment inventory for physical activity and media equipment. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2008;5:24.
50. Evenson K, Birnbaum A, Bedimo-Rung A, et al. Girls' Perception of physical environmental factors and transportation: Reliability and association with physical activity and active transport to school. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2006;3:28.
51. Pate RR, Ross R, Dowda M, Trost SG, Sirard J. Validation of a three-day physical activity recall instrument in female youth. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 2003;15:257-265.
52. US Department of Health and Human Services: Physical activity and health: A report of the Surgeon General. 1996
53. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Difference in prevalence of overweight and obesity among black, white, and Hispanic adults—United States, 2006-2008. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report.* 2009, 58:740-744
54. Rodríguez DA, Cho G-H, Evenson KR, et al.: Out and about: Association of the built environment with physical activity behaviors of adolescent females. *Health & Place.* 2012, 18:55-62
55. Airhihenbuwa CO, Kumanyika S, Agurs TD, Lowe A. Perceptions and beliefs about exercise, rest, and health among African-Americans. *Am J Health Promot.* 1995;9:426-429.
56. Boyington JEA, Carter-Edwards L, Piehl M, et al.: Cultural attitudes toward weight, diet, and physical activity among overweight African American girls. *Preventing Chronic Disease.* 2008, 5
57. Margo RA: The competitive dynamics of racial exclusion: employment segregation in the South, 1900-1950. *Allied Social Science Association Meeting.* Washington, DC: 1990
58. Ruef M, Fletcher B. Legacies of American slavery: Status attainment among southern blacks after emancipation. *Social Forces.* 2003;82:445-480.
59. Trost SG, Pate RR, Ward DS, Saunders R, Riner W. Correlates of objectively measured physical activity in preadolescent youth. *Am J Prev Med.* 1999;17:120.
60. Sirard J, Laska M, Patnode C, Farbaksh K, Lytle L. Adolescent physical activity and screen time: Associations with the physical home environment. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2010;7:82.
61. Hands BP, Chivers PT, Parker HE, et al. The associations between physical activity, screen time and weight from 6 to 14 yrs: The Raine Study. *J Sci Med Sport / Sports Med Aust.* 2011;14:397-403.
62. Molnar BE, Gortmaker SL, Bull FC, Buka SL. Unsafe to play? Neighborhood disorder and lack of safety predict reduced physical activity among urban children and adolescents. *Am J Health Promot.* 2004;18:378-386.
63. Franzini L, Taylor W, Elliott MN, et al. Neighborhood characteristics favorable to outdoor physical activity: Disparities by socioeconomic and racial/ethnic composition. *Health & Place.* 2010;16:267-274.
64. Weir LA, Etelson D, Brand DA. Parents' perceptions of neighborhood safety and children's physical activity. *Prev Med.* 2006;43:212-217.
65. Corder K, Ekelund U, Steele RM, et al. Assessment of physical activity in youth. *J Appl Physiol.* 2008;105:977-987.
66. Kumanyika SK, Whitt-Glover MC, Gary TL, et al. Expanding the obesity research paradigm to reach African American communities. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2007;4:A112.