

Walkable Community Design & Physical Activity in Children

Barbara B. Brown, PhD & Robert B. Stevens, MPP Contact: barbara.brown@fcs.utah.edu Funded by a Synergy Grant (Craig Forster, PI), Urban Systems Research, University of Utah, Office of the Vice President of Research

National problem: Low child PA, especially for girls

- Only 35% of girls & 48% of boys meet MVPA* standards
 - (60 min. X 5 days/week; ages 6-11)
 - (Troiano, et al., 2007)



Moderate to Vigorous
Physical Activity

Dailymail, UK

Community solution? Daybreak, UT



Daybreak is

1 of 500 New Urban places (Steuteville, 2008)

a LEED-ND pilot community (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design-Neighborhood Development)



D.H. Horchner, DesignWorkshop

New Urbanist design goals congruent with PA

- Walkable neighborhoods
 - "Neighborhoods should be compact, pedestrianfriendly, and mixed-use"
- Walkable schools
 - "Schools should be sized & located to enable children to walk or bicycle to them"

(Leccese & McCormick, 2000, p. 105).



LEED-Neighborhood Development goals congruent with PA

- Points awarded for energy efficiency + greater density & less auto dependence
- Daybreak would likely get points for
 - School proximity
 - Example: 1/2 residences w/in 0.5 mile (Smart Location/ Linkage credit 7)
 - Walkable streets
 - Example: sidewalks, calmed traffic (Neighborhood Pattern & Design credit 7)
 - Street network
 - Example: interconnected (Neighborhood Pattern & Design credit 8)

Daybreak has the 3Ds of walkability: Density, Diversity, & pedestrian friendly Design



Walkable Daybreak community

 Planning by New Urbanist Peter
Calthorpe





Selection problem: Does Daybreak's walkable design attract active families?

- Selection (resident prior preferences) or environment (walkability) → PA?
 - Hi PA people \rightarrow choose Daybreak \rightarrow stay hi PA?
 - OR, Move to Daybreak \rightarrow more PA?

We address 2 ways

- Control for parent preference for child PA
 - "Ideally my child would walk to school"
- Compare Daybreak kids with non-Daybreak classmates
 - If selection exists, Daybreak kids would be more active at school than others

MVPA compared for 3 groups of 5th graders

- Walkable
 - Students living in and attending Daybreak school
- Mixed
 - Students attend Daybreak school, live outside community boundaries
- Less walkable
 - Neither school nor neighborhood is walkable





Research questions for MVPA minutes

Community:

- Walkable > less walkable groups
 - For walk to & from school?
 - After school & on weekends?
- Selection:
 - Walkable > mixed group at school?
 - Lunch & other times?
 - If yes, selection exists

Nearby mixed & less walkable communities were similar

Controlled parent preferences for child to walk, # rooms in home, & parent education





Accelerometer & survey data

- Accelerometer data details:
 - 7:55 AM -9:00 PM
 - 30-sec. epochs measured, minutes analyzed
 - MVPA: Freedson's age-adjusted scoring
 - Valid hour >30 mins. activity; valid day \geq 4 hrs
 - ≥2 days for week analyses; ≥1 for weekend
- Parent & child surveys: controls & self-reports
- Ns = 203 (of 211) accelerometers good
 - Weekday accelerometer + parent survey: n=185
 - Weekend accelerometer + parent survey: n=148

Student self-report: % walk weekly (≥ 1 X wk)



Community effects: Daybreak kids get more MVPA during ¹/₂ hr. before/after school



No main effect for community after school, on weekends. But...



Daybreak boys especially active after school (Gender X Community signif.)



Boys are often more active than girls— Except for walk from school

	Boys	Girls	F	Partial eta ²	
Out of school MVPA					
Walk to school	7.01	5.47	4.90*	0.027	
Walk from school	8.83	8.42	0.39	0.002	
After school	51.64	37.68	14.61**	0.077	
Weekend	34.33	23.82	8.12**	0.055	
In school MVPA					
Lunch	16.98	11.11	37.74**	0.178	
Other school day	32.28	26.25	8.04**	0.041	
* p < .05; ** p < .01					

Are Daybreak kids more active than others in the same classrooms?

No: no evidence of selection bias



Summary

- Community effects
 - Favor Daybreak for walk to/from school MVPA
 - After school, Daybreak boys especially active
 - No community effects on weekends
- Boys often more active than girls
- Controlled or assessed for selection 2 ways

Still need more PA for girls especially

 But at least the walk from school MVPA was gender neutral



D. Horchner

Difficult to fight car culture





Dorsey, 2007, TBO



But walkable design seems to matter

Here is the less walkable area...



A quick look at walkability at Daybreak...



Gold medallion homes

Sociable porches



Calthorpe Associates



Small lots, but variety of open spaces



Brown; Kennecott Land

Some houses share a green court



-10'-20'-2

307-45" -

- 305.45



Kennecott Land

School & community center together



Daybreak is

- A promising active living community
- Making compact development attractive
- Deserves investigation for multiple potential benefits





Future growth: may be 200,000+



Future TRAX

Appendices: (hidden slides) Description of control variables

Table 1												
Means (M) and standard deviations (SD) for covariates												
	Walkable community (n= 26)		Mix comm	ed Junity	Less walkable community		Воу	/S	Gi	rls		
			(n = 74)		(n=84)		(n=77)		(n = 107)			
	М	SD	Μ	SD	Μ	SD	М	SD	М	SD		
Parent ideally wants child to walk (1-4)	3.89	0.58	3.07	1.14	2.57	1.20	3.05	1.14	2.90	1.22		
# rooms in home	7.93	2.77	8.08	2.32	9.12	2.35	8.32	2.60	8.68	2.34		
Parent has some college	0.81	0.40	0.83	0.38	0.74	0.44	0.78	0.41	0.79	0.41		

Less walkable school had an extra 15 minute track time

Effects during track time are noticeable

& no significant gender effect



...but no MVPA boost across the whole school day



How our results compare

- Most compare: walkers vs non
 - We compared communities
- Walkers get 3.5 to 14 more MVPA min.
 - Denmark 3.5 (Cooper, 2005)
 - England 5.6 to; 8-14 to & from (Cooper, 2003)
 - U.S.: to 3.7; from 4.7, to & from 9.5 (Saksvig, 2007)
 - So. Carolina, regular walkers: to 5; from 5 (Sirard, 2005)