Methodological Issues in the Combined use of GPS, GIS and Accelerometry in Research on Greenspace and Physical Activity with Adolescents

23rd February 2011

Justine Geyer TSG/ESRC PhD Studentship

Child and Adolescent Health Research Unit (CAHRU) University of Edinburgh, Scotland j.geyer@sms.ed.ac.uk











Green space

Natural environments in urban settings

Quantity and proximity linked to higher levels of physical activity in adults and adolescents

Leisure time use of green space and its relationship to physical activity

Method

- 35 adolescent girls and boys aged 13 and 15 years fracelerometer towns in Fife, Scotland
- Monitoring of physical activity using Actigraph AM7164 uniaxial accelerometers – 30s epoch, all waking hours
- Tracking of geospatial behaviour with Blackberry 8900 GPS enabled mobile phones using GPSlogger* - 30s epoch, kept with them at all times
- * Sourced fromemacberry.com
- Fife Council GIS green space layer – used to assign green space land use code to GPS location data



🛿 JGeyer_ActGPSGISina... 📳 Fife Council update v2... 🔯 Poster_BrightSPARCS2... 🔯 Presentation Sides Ph... 🔯 CG5_3towns.mxd - ... 🐻 🔍 K 🐠 🏹 09:25

Experiences - Fieldwork

Data Loss

- GPSlogger and fiddling fingers!
- Applock

Acceptability

- Incentive
- Acceptable look
- Primarily a phone
- Familiarity with recharging
- Large memory 1GB, >16,000 recordings

- Dash to volunteer
- Approval of phone
- Good variety of volunteers

Limitations

- Separate to accelerometer and not attached to body
- Expense limits sample size

Experiences - Data processing and analysis

• Using GIS data to characterise GPS data from phones

• Missing data and imputation

Ele N26 A ID 260 261 262 263 264 265 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 266 2.4 267 2.4 268 2.4 269 270 2.4 2.7 2.4 2.7 2.4 2.7 2.7 2.4 2.7 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 <tr< th=""><th>Edit Vjew Inser Edit Vjew Inse</th><th>Fgrmat Iools 9 • (2 •) Σ •) 5 • (2 •) Σ •)</th><th>Data Window Help</th><th>End R</th><th>teview</th><th>. 10 💽</th><th><u>в I U</u></th><th>₣₮₿₿</th><th>i 🥶 «</th><th>% ,</th><th>Type a que 8 🔐 🗊</th><th>stion for help</th><th>* _ # ③ * <u>A</u> •</th></tr<>	Edit Vjew Inser Edit Vjew Inse	Fgrmat Iools 9 • (2 •) Σ •) 5 • (2 •) Σ •)	Data Window Help	End R	teview	. 10 💽	<u>в I U</u>	₣₮₿₿	i 🥶 «	% ,	Type a que 8 🔐 🗊	stion for help	* _ # ③ * <u>A</u> •
Image: N26 1 ID 260 2,4 261 2,4 262 2,4 263 2,4 264 265 265 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,24 269 270 2,4 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4	B B Longitude 435 435 -3.4391360000 436 -3.439280000 437 -3.4392280000 438 -3.439200000 438 -3.43930700000	9 • C • Σ •	100% Aria Aria Aria Aria D newdatetime	End R	Review	- 10 -	B I U		3 I 🥶 (% * .;;	8 208 詳	(課)田▼	<u> - A</u> -
N26 1 ID 260 2,4 261 2,4 262 2,4 263 2,4 264 264 265 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 269 270 2,4 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4	Bit Bit Longitude 4 435 -3.4391360000 436 -3.439280000 437 -3.4392280000 438 -3.4392500000 438 -3.4393070000	C Latitude 56.04362600000 56.04358100000	Pareply with Changes D newdatetime	End R	Review								
N26 A 1 ID 260 2,4 261 2,4 262 2,4 263 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 268 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4	E E Longitude 435 -3.4391360000 436 -3.4392280000 437 -3.4392500000 437 -3.4392500000 438 -3.4393070000 438	C Latitude 56.04362600000 56.04358100000	D newdatetime	E	F								
A 1 ID 260 2,4 261 2,4 262 2,4 263 2,4 265 2,4 265 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 268 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4 274	B Longitude 435 -3.4391360000 436 -3.43922800000 437 -3.4392500000 438 -3.43930700000	C Latitude 56.04362600000 56.04358100000	D newdatetime	E	F								-
1 ID 260 2,4 261 2,4 262 2,4 263 2,4 264 2 265 2,4 266 2,4 265 2,4 266 2,4 265 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 269 2 270 2,4 271 2,4	Longitude 435 -3.4391360000 436 -3.4392280000 437 -3.4392500000 438 -3.4393070000	Latitude 56.04362600000 56.04358100000	newdatetime		1	G	н	1	J	К	L	M	N
260 2,4 261 2,4 262 2,4 263 2,4 265 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 268 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 270 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 27,4 27,4 27,4 27,4 27,4 27,4 27,4 2	435 -3.4391360000 436 -3.4392280000 437 -3.4392500000 438 -3.4393070000	56.04362600000 56.04358100000		Day	timeperiod v	weekend	LU_CODE	Distance	CPS	Missing	Adjacent		
261 2,4 262 2,4 263 2,4 264 265 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 268 2,4 268 2,4 269 270 2,4 270 2,4	436 -3.4392280000 437 -3.4392500000 438 -3.4393070000	3 56.04358100000	16-Jun-2010 16:09:30	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	0		
262 2,4 263 2,4 264 264 265 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 268 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4	437 -3.4392500000 438 -3.4393070000		16-Jun-2010 16:10:00	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	0		
263 2,4 264 265 2,4 266 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 268 2,4 269 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4	438 -3.4393070000	56.04353700000	16-Jun-2010 16:10:30	16	3	0	6.21	1.92	0	0	0		
264 265 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 269 2,4 269 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4		56.04339600000	16-Jun-2010 16:11:00	16	3	0	6.21	0.03	3	0	1		
265 2,4 266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 268 2,4 269 2 270 2,4 271 2,4			16-Jun-2010 16:11:30	16	3	0			339	. 1	0		
266 2,4 267 2,4 268 2,4 269 2,4 270 2,4 271 2,4	439 -3.4393800000	56.04354000000	16-Jun-2010 16:12:00	16	3	0	6.21	0.78	1,025	0	1		
267 2,4 268 2,4 269 270 2,4 271 2,4	441 -3.4392140000	56.04343400000	16-Jun-2010 16:12:30	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	11	0	0		
268 2,4 269 270 2,4 271 2,4	442 -3.4393600000	56.04341500000	16-Jun-2010 16:13:00	16	3	0	6.21	1.02	1	0	0		
269 270 2,4 271 2,4	443 -3.4393620000	56.04338100000	16-Jun-2010 16:13:30	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	431	0	1		
270 2,4 271 2,4			16-Jun-2010 16:14:00	16	3	0			125	1	0		
271 2.4	444 -3.4395330000	56.04350800000	16-Jun-2010 16:14:30	16	3	0	6.21	0.40	0	0	1		
	445 -3.4392510000	56.04358300000	16-Jun-2010 16:15:00	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	1		
272			16-Jun-2010 16:15:30	16	3	0			0	1	0		
273			16-Jun-2010 16:16:00	16	3	0			0	1	0		
274			16-Jun-2010 16:16:30	16	3	0			0	1	0		
275			16-Jun-2010 16:17:00	16	3	0			0	1	0		
276			16-Jun-2010 16:17:30	16	3	0			0	1	0		
277			16-Jun-2010 16:18:00	16	3	0			0	1	0		
278 2.4	446 -3.4391390000	56.04329400000	16-Jun-2010 16:18:30	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	1		
279 2.4	447 -3.4393920000	56.04350700000	16-Jun-2010 16:19:00	16	3	0	6.21	2.01	0	0	0		
280 2.4	448 -3.4392790000	56.04343700000	16-Jun-2010 16:19:30	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	0		
281 2.4	449 -3.4393060000	56.04345300000	16-Jun-2010 16:20:00	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	0		
282 2.4	450 -3.4393410000	56.04344300000	16-Jun-2010 16:20:30	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	0		
283 2.4	451 -3 4392040000	56 04341500000	16-Jun-2010 16:21:00	16	3	0	6.21	0.00	0	0	0		
284 2.4	452 -3 4392470000	56 04329900000	16-Jun-2010 16:21:30	16	3	, n	6.21	0.00	0	0	0		
4 4 1	H V28A11_ActG	PS2/				<			1007				>
Draw -	NOVE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	NOON.	A 3 9 R R &	3 -	A - =	=							
Ready	AutoShapes • \			- 5 A C		++ m							
👭 sta	AutoShapes • \		-eo-sge Lazz Gaar (🥌 🎽			++ •#						NUM	

Joining GIS with GPS



Missing Data and Imputation

- Amount of missing data extremely variable between individuals ranging from 98.6% to 8.3% (includes low quality participants)
- Exclusion of days where actigraph data >30mins unexplained gap or GPS >60 mins gap – possible non-compliance
- Total percentage missing data for aggregate dataset was 25% (before imputation)
- Pattern of missing data frequency of durations, reasonable to impute?
- Imputation if bounded by same code and within 35m of each other then impute based on last known land use coding
- Is it reasonable to assume missing data is non-GS? proportion of boundary pairs that are in GS probability that longer missing sections are bounded by nonGS
- 15% of bounding GPS points were GS coded

Conclusions

- Exciting method with great appeal to adolescents
- Promising level of detail and accuracy for researchers
- Fieldwork and data handling challenging
- Caution required in reliance on results from this method

Compliance

- Recharging
- Keeping Blackberry with them at all times
 Signal loss: signal obstruction or switched off
 Signal in the home: participant at home or left unit behind
- Requirement for additional information balance with burden Interviews
 Live tracking battery information
 Survey sent daily to phones

Local GS use during non-school time (summer term) by a group of urban teenagers aged 13 and 15 years in Fife, Scotland



- parks, play areas or public gardens
- canals, rivers, lochs

□ woods, forests

playing/sports fields

■ golf courses

Miscellaneous green space

Local GS use during non-school time (during summer term time) by a group of urban teenagers aged 13 and 15 years in Fife, Scotland

	Average mins in GS	Average mins of PA level 1 in GS [equivalent to 750cpm cut off]	Average mins of PA level 2 in GS [equivalent to 2000cpm cut off]	Average mins of PA level 3 in GS [equivalent to 3500cpm cutoff]
per school day	56	28	18	9
Range	none to >3 hours			
per weekend day	94	32	21	12
Range	33 to >4 hours			

**Based on aggregate dataset before imputation

Aim

• Investigate green space use and physical activity in green space by adolescents during their leisure (non-school) time

Questions

- How much do adolescents in Scotland use greenspace during their leisure time?
- How active are they in green space?
- What contribution does green space make to leisure time physical activity?

Details of aggregate dataset

Days of "complete" data	Days of No. of 'complete" data adolescents		Adolescent group	Number in aggregate sample		
1	4			•		
2	10		S2	12		
<u>ک</u>	10	0	S1	0		
3	4			5		
4	1		Girls	10		
5	2		Boys	11		

Dataset so far consists of 50 "complete" days including weekdays and weekends from 21 participants





Experiences – Data management and analysis

Overview

- 1. GPS data trimmed in SPSS to leave only leisure time
- 2. In ArcGIS clipped non-local trips and joined to green space layer
- 3. In SPSS merged with leisure time actigraph data using date & time
- 4. Created aggregate file
- 5. Quality check of actigraph and GPS data

