



HEALTH

# Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Likelihood of Physical Activity: The Role of Neighborhood Characteristics

Stephanie L. Taylor, John Romley, Liz Malcolm, and Arleen Brown

February 2006

## Study Objectives

- 1) To determine if racial/ethnic disparities exist in adults' likelihood of being physically active (PA) exist
- 2) To determine those disparities in PA vary across L.A. County census tracts
- 3) If so, what tract characteristics correlate with that variation, controlling for individuals' characteristics

# Methods: Dependent Variables

2 measures of being physically active (PA):

1) Meeting Healthy People 2010 guidelines=

- vigorous activity (anything that causes the heart to beat faster)  
20 mins/day, 3+ days/wk OR
- moderate activity (30 min/day 5 days/wk) OR
- combination of above for the recommended time for 5+ days /week

2) Moderate activity=

Vigorous or moderate activity for less than # days required for “meeting 2010 guidelines”

Items asked about utilitarian activity, not just “exercise” (walking, yard work, etc)

# Methods: Key Independent Variables

12 types of census tract characteristics:

## Built environment factors:

- 1) # households/sq mile
- 2) % of land that is park space
- 3&4) street connectivity:

- gamma - street segment saturation (# street segments/total streets)
- alpha - street circuit saturation (# paths to get around tract/total possible paths)

## 5 and 6) land use mix

- % residential, commercial, public, industrial
- land use mix (0-1.0, representing degree of homogeneity of land type - Frank et al.)

## Sources:

- 2000 Census
- 2002 Thomas Brothers
- Tiger software

So. CA Assoc. of Govt.s

# Methods: Key Independent Variables

## Social factors:

### 7 & 8) crime

- perceived neighborhood safety (somewhat/very safe vs unsafe/somewhat unsafe)
- # arrests/sq mile 2000-2002

## Sources:

1999/2002 LA Health Surveys

LA City Police Dept.

### 9 & 10) ethnic/racial composition

- % of each category
- Simpson's racial/ethnic diversity

2000 Census

2000 Census

### 11 & 12) income

- median hh income
- Massey's index of concentration of extremes=  $\frac{\# \text{ affluent over } 100,000 - \# \text{ below FPL}}{\text{total}}$

2000 Census

2000 Census

## Methods: Sample

Random-digit dial survey of representative sample of adults in LA County:

- 2 waves: 1999-2000 (n=8,354) & 2002-2003 (n=8,167)
- 55% and 57% response rates
- Analytic samples:
  - 16,521 total sample
  - 3,620 missing cross streets to identify location
  - 12,901
  - missing outcome data
    - = 6,012 for meeting PA guidelines
    - = 12,822 for being moderately PA

# Methods: Analysis

Multi-level modeling (using MlwiN software):

- similar to estimating a regression equation for each tract.
- adjusts standard errors to account for the lack of independence among residents of same tract
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- included sampling weights and centered variables
- included several characteristics of individuals

# Methods: Analysis

Individual-level control variables:

1) General characteristics :

gender, education, age, hours worked, income (FPL), marital status

2) Plus:

- having to use special equipment
- smoking status
- self-reported general health status (fair/poor vs good/excellent)
- having a chronic health condition (asthma, diabetes, heart disease, depr.)

# Results: Modeling Meeting PA Guidelines

Obj.1 - To determine if racial/ethnic disparities exist in adults' likelihood of being physically active (PA):

APIs' OR = 0.5 \*\*      Latinos' OR = 1.2\*

Blacks' OR = 0.8

Obj. 2 - Did race/ethnicity' disparities in PA vary across tracts:

APIs' ORs ranged from 0.2 to 1.9 across tracts

Var comp= 4.488 (0.456),  $p > 0.001$

Latinos' ORs ranged from 0.5 to 3.0 across tracts

Var comp= 0.938 (0.230),  $p > 0.001$

\*= $p < 0.05$ , \*\*= $p < 0.001$

## Results: Neighborhood Correlates of Guideline PA

Obj. 3: What neighborhood factors correlated with disparities in PA varying across tracts:

Household density= 0.014 (0.004)\*\*

Safe neighborhood= -0.461 (0.205)\*

How do we know these accounted for the variation in disparities?

APIs' Var comp= 3.75(1.93), NS      Latinos' Var comp= 1.16(0.81), NS

Which tract characteristics mattered to which race/ethnicity?

APIs live in areas with lower household density, relative to Whites.

Latinos live in areas with BOTH higher household density and less safe tracts, relative to Whites

\*=p<0.05, \*\*p<0.001

## Results: Modeling Moderate PA

Obj.1 - To determine if racial/ethnic disparities exist in adults' likelihood of being physically active (PA):

**APIs OR = 0.6\*\***

**Latinos OR = 1.2 (p=0.06)      Black's OR = 0.9**

Obj. 2 - Did race/ethnicity' disparities in PA vary across tracts:

**APIs' ORs ranged from 0.1 to 1.2 across tracts**

**Var comp= 3.982 (0.412), p>0.001**

**\*=p<0.05, \*\*p<0.001**

## Results: Neighborhood Correlates for Moderate PA

Obj. 3: What neighborhood factors correlated with disparities in PA varying across tracts:

% Tract that is park space 1.392 (0.556)\*

How do we know these accounted for the variation in disparities?

APIs' Var comp= 1.112(0.401), reduced, but  $p < 0.05$

APIs live in areas with less park space, relative to Whites.

\*= $p < 0.05$ , \*\*= $p < 0.001$

# Limitations

Some tracts had < 5 persons, and many had <30, so we couldn't pinpoint specific tracts for further examination.

We only utilized a measure of park space when data on ALL recreational facilities and their availability (fees and hours) might provide more information.

Indicators of guideline-meeting PA were not comparable in 2000 and 2003. However, we had enough power to detect disparities.

# Summary and Implications for Future Research

- 1) Racial/ethnic disparities in PA were strong in some areas and non-existent in others.
- 2) Neighborhood characteristics seemed to explain a portion of those disparities. It is important to go into a variety of neighborhoods and collect additional data and/or do qualitative analysis.
- 3) Racial/ethnic disparities in PA go in the opposite direction from what we normally see.

Implication: It is important to measure the full range (utilitarian) of PA and not only “exercise”