Childhood Obesity and Proximity to Urban Parks and Recreational Resources: A Longitudinal Cohort Study
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Background

- Built environment is increasingly linked to physical activity and obesity
- But few longitudinal studies of built environment determinants of obesity have been conducted with children
- Children’s Health Study (CHS) offers longitudinal sample with objectively measured BMI (Kg/m²) data
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Data and Methods

- 11,797 CHS children
  - Up to 8 years of follow up
  - Building on $50+ million prior investment
  - 12 Southern California communities
  - BMI measured yearly by trained staff
- Geospatial data
  - Land use
  - Transportation
  - Business locations
  - Public facilities/programs
  - Green cover
  - Air pollution
- Use of flexible growth curve multilevel modeling
Models Focus on
Attained BMI at Age 18

BMI change over 8-yrs
BMI level at age 18
Multilevel Modeling of BMI Trajectories

Level 1: Within subject/between times

Allows for:

- Prediction of attained BMI levels for each subject at any age
- Calculation of 8-yr BMI growth slope for each child
- Adjustment of time-dependent covariates (e.g., health status)
- Non-linear growth trajectory due to puberty
Level 2: Between subjects/within community

Allows for:

- Within-community built environment effects
- Community average of 8-yr BMI growth
- Adjustment of time-independent covariates (e.g., ethnicity)
- Control of individual-level errors
Level 3: Between communities

Allows for:

- Between-community pollution effect, urban sprawl, crime
- Adjustment of ecologic covariates
### Characteristics of Analytic Cohort Age 10-18

**Prevalence Rate (%) of overweight (BMI ≥ 85th %ile)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort (year, # of subjects)</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1993: 2192)</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1996: 2081)</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Analytic Cohort N = 3318 with 8 years of follow up from ages 10-18*
BMI Growth Over 8 Years

**Boys**
BMI Averages:
- 18.4 at age 10
- 24.1 at age 18

**Girls**
BMI Averages:
- 18.4 at age 10
- 23.4 at age 18
General Characteristics of Study Communities

• Range of community size
  – Long Beach (2000 pop. 406,151)
  – Lake Gregory (2000 pop. 15,431)

• Mix of community types
  – Older central cities (Long Beach, Riverside)
  – Inner ring suburbs (San Dimas)
  – Suburbs (Lancaster, Mira Loma, Upland)
  – Distant exurbs (Lake Elsinore, Alpine)
  – Rural/resort communities (Santa Maria, Atascadero, Lompoc, Lake Gregory)

• Mix of class and race/ethnicity
  – Upland – white, affluent
  – Long Beach – Latino, lower-income
Park & Recreational Program Variables

- Park space within 200, 500, and 1000 m of child’s home
- Public recreational programs within 5 km and 10 km of child’s home
Recreational Audit

• All municipal websites in CHS study areas were systematically audited for information on recreation program offerings (Su 2006)
• Variables included program type, duration, cost, and target age group
• Location on/off park site also determined using GIS
• Web audit data augmented by direct contacts and ancillary private/nonprofit web sites
Distribution of Recreational Programs Across Study Communities
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Access to Recreation Programs

Number of Recreation Programs within 5 Km

Number of Recreation Programs within 10 Km
# Model Results

(8-year Growth Curves, Age Centered at 18, with adjustments for ethnicity, town, gender, cohort, and park/recreation specific confounders)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable of Interest</th>
<th>Effect: Males (std)</th>
<th>Effect: Females (std)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Park space (km) within 500 meter buffer</td>
<td>-0.012*** (0.005)</td>
<td>-0.007* (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation programs within 5 km buffer</td>
<td>-0.015*** (0.004)</td>
<td>-0.008*** (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation programs within 10 km buffer</td>
<td>-0.025*** (0.005)</td>
<td>-0.016*** (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Confounders</td>
<td>Parkland within 500 m</td>
<td>Total Number of Recreation Programs within 5 KM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Density within 150 m Buffer</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Density within 300 m Buffer</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from Residence to Nearest Side of Highway</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Population (Total Population within 500 m Buffer)</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Urban Imperviousness within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Tree Canopy within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Length of Highway within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Length of Major Arterial within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Length of Airport Runway within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture Land Use within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Block Size of Blocks within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of “X” Intersections within 500 m Buffer</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Below Poverty within Census Block</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Unemployment within Census Block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Level Forcible Rape Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major Outcomes
Results

• Park space within 500 m of child’s home inversely associated with BMI at age 18
• Public recreational programs ≤10 km of child’s home also protective for obesity
• Many children have poor access to public recreational programs
  – Almost 20% have no access within 10 km
  – 36% have no access within 5 km
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Influence of Confounders

• Confounders for parks – lowered effect sizes but relationship between parks and BMI remained significant/negative

• Confounders for recreation – increased effect sizes, but differentially by gender (greater for boys)
Interpretation of Findings for Recreational Programs and BMI

• If all children had comparable access to recreational programs:
  – **Boys**: 11.26% move from overweight to normal; 3% from obese to overweight
  – **Girls**: 8.5% move from overweight to normal; almost 3% from obese to overweight
Connections to Active Living Interventions

• Increase park space and recreational programming near poor and minority neighborhoods with high densities of children
Next steps

• Focus on specific roles of gender, race/ethnicity, and age
• Refine selected model measures, for example, park quality/facilities, quality/diversity/cost/energy expenditure associated with recreation offerings
• Analyze children who stay lean in park/recreation-poor places and those who are obese in park/recreation-rich environments, to understand why
• Create a “obesity vulnerability” index to highlight geography of risk for planners, schools, public health officials