# Multivariate Analysis Of School District Wellness Policies And High School Practices On Adolescent Obesity

Julie Metos, PhD, RD University of Utah College of Health



# Timeline- Utah & U.S. Efforts



Wellness Policy Mandate	Written Wellness Policy	Wellness Policy Practices	Adolescent behaviors	Adolescent BMI
Federal level	School district	School level	Individual level	Individual level
	IEVEI	GAP		GAP

# Are High School Practices Associated With Adolescent Overweight and Obesity?

## Tools

- 1. Utah Population Database
- 2. School Health Profiles (*Profiles*) for each Utah high school post wellness policy deadline (2008)
- 3. Common Core of Data information on each Utah high school
- 4. School district wellness policy information from prior study
- 5. School district boundary maps

# **Covariates**

### <u>Individual</u>

age, sex, race, ethnicity, BMI

### Maternal/Family

age, marital status, race, ethnicity, education level, BMI

### **School Characteristics**

rurality, enrollment, race/ethnicity of student population, proportion eligible for free and reduced price lunch, (FRPL) school season

## **School Practices and School District Policies**

competitive foods, PE exemptions, classroom topics, staff development and collaboration, district wellness policies

# **Methods**

- 1) Obtained high school boundary maps from school districts
- 2) Mapped high school boundaries
- 3) Placed adolescents in high school boundaries using UPDB address
- 4) Each geo-coded student was then linked to the results of *Profiles* based on school boundary and the school calendar year they received their license
- 5) Bivariate and multivariate analyses of individual, family, school characteristics, school practices and adolescent BMI

-Multinomial logistic regression using Huber-White sandwich estimator to adjust for clustering



n= 51,162 adolescents, ages 15-19

## Characteristics of Utah High School Population, 2008

	Mean or %	SD	10%ile	90%ile	
Race/ Ethnicity					
% Black	1.1	1.4	0.0	2.6	
% Pacific Islander	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	
% American Indian	5.3	1.7	0.2	7.7	
% Asian	2.5	2.7	0.0	6.1	
%Hispanic	10.6	1 1.4	1.7	2.6	
%White	80.3	19.4	54.2	94.8	
% Rural	36.9	NA	0.0	1.0	
Free & Reduced Price Lunch (proportion eligible)	32.5	19.6	4.2	98.5	
Student Enrollment	1010.9	741.7	116.0	1950.0	

#### Unadjusted Risk Ratios And 95% Confidence Intervals From Multinomial Regression Of the Likelihood Of Being Overweight Or Obese By School Practices, n= 51,126.

School Practice	<u>Overweight</u> RR (CI)	p-value	<u>Obese</u> RR (CI)	p-value
Students can purchase fruits & vegetables Yes No				
	0.86 (0.80-0.92) 1.0	0.001	0.81 (0.73-0.90) 1.0	0.001
Intramural sports are available at				
school Yes No	0.86 (0.81-0.93) 1.0	0.001	0.83 (0.75-0.92)	0.001
Student can be exempt from PE				
for another class Yes No	1.12 (1.03-1.21) 1.0	0.001	1.15 (1.01-1.31)	0.03

#### Adjusted Risk Ratios And 95% Confidence Intervals From Multinomial Regression of the Likelihood of Being Overweight or Obese by School Practices, n= 51,126.

School Practice	<u>Overweight</u> RR (CI)	p-value	<u>Obese</u> RR (CI)	p-value
Students can purchase fruit/veg Yes No	0.50 (0.13-1.79) 1.0	0.29	1.08 (0.23-5.07) 1.0	0.92
Intramural sports are available at school Yes No	1.10 (0.13-9.5) 1.0	0.93	2.68 (0.23-2.83) 1.0	0.41
Student can be exempt from PE for another class	0 87 (0 73-1 03)	0 11	0.76 (0.61-0.95)	0.02
No	1.0	0.11	0.70 (0.01-0.30)	0.02

#### Adjusted Risk Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals From Multinomial Regression of the Likelihood of being Overweight or Obese by Individual Attributes, n= 51,162.

Individual Attribute	<u>Overweight</u> RR (CI)	p-value	<u>Obese</u> RR (CI)	p-value
Sex				
Female	1.0		1.0	
Male	1.41 (1.23-1.62)	0.001	2.23 (1.87-2.66)	0.001
Race				
White	1.0		1.0	
Black	3.80 (1.37-10.48)	0.01	3.03 (0.82-11.19)	0.57
American Indian	1.73 (0.80-3.74)	0.16	2.04 (0.91-4.80)	0.02
Pacific [				
Islander	1.51 (0.99-2.30)	0.001	1.96 (1.26-3.04)	0.001
Ethnicity				
Non- Hispanic	1.0		1.0	
Hispanic	1.29 (0.96-1.72)	0.09	1.47 (1.05-2.06)	0.03

#### Adjusted Risk Ratios And 95% Confidence Intervals From Multinomial Regression Of The Likelihood Of Being Overweight Or Obese By Maternal Attributes, n= 51,162.

Maternal Attribute	<u>Overweight</u> RR (CI)	p-value	<u>Obese</u> RR (CI)	p-value
Marital Status Married Not Married	1.0 1.35 (1.23-1.49)	0.001	1.0 1.46 (1.29-1.65)	0.001
Education <12 yrs 12-14 yrs 15-16 yrs >16 yrs	1.24 (0.98-1.58) 1.0 0.94 (0.80-1.10) 0.85 (0.69-1.04)	0.06 0.43 0.11	1.40 (0.99-1.77) 1.0 <u>0.83 (0.60-1.01)</u> 0.74 (0.57-0.96)	0.22 0.83 0.02
Age <20 yrs 20-29 yrs 30-39 yrs >=40 yrs	1.0 0.94 (0.56-1.55) 0.89 (0.77-1.02) 0.84 (0.66-1.08)	0.80 0.09 0.17	1.0 0.81 (0.69-0.94) 0.79 (0.67-0.93) 0.81 (0.61-1.09)	0.005 0.004 0.169
Maternal BMI <25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 25-30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> >30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	1.0 1.95 (1.65-2.29) 3.08 (2.54-3.76)	0.001 0.001	1.0 2.65 (2.17-3.24) 6.06 (4.88-7.52)	0.001 0.001

#### Adjusted Risk Ratios And 95% Confidence Intervals From Multinomial Regression Of The Likelihood Of Being Overweight Or Obese By School Characteristics, n= 51,162.

School Characteristic	<u>Overweight</u> RR (CI)	p-value	<u>Obese</u> RR (CI)	p-value
Free & Reduced Price Lunch				
<15%	1.0		1.0	
15-29%	1.05 (0.86-1.29)	0.62	1.51 (1.16-1.95)	0.001
30-50%	1.06 (0.69-1.60)	0.70	1.28 (0.76-2.16)	0.35
>50%	1.17 (0.82-1.68)	0.37	1.02 (0.67-1.56)	0.91
>70% Non-		F		
White				
Yes	1.15 (0.83-1.59)	0.39	1.43 (1.16-1.74)	0.001
No	1.0		1.0	

## **District Wellness Policy Content and Practices of High Schools in that District are Not Related**

<b>District Policy</b> (mandate language)	School Practice (Profiles)	Х <sup>2</sup>	p- value
District has competitive food guidelines	Students can purchase candy in vending, school store or canteen	0.05	0.88
District has a PA policy for school breaks/after school activities	Intramural sports are available	0.00	1.0
District has a staff wellness training policy	Teachers received PA training	1.74	0.19
District has plans for policy evaluation	School has a health advisory group	0.78	0.38

# Strengths and Limitations

# Strengths

Large number of adolescents

Multiple covariates, making it possible to examine several potential determinants of overweight and obesity at individual, family, school and policy levels Potential Limitations

**Profiles survey** 

Assigned to school boundaries by address, not enrollment

Self reported weight

Limited to maternal characteristics to describe family

# Conclusion

**Overall, the implementation of PA and nutrition practices** was not associated with overweight or obesity in Utah

#### Research

Develop tools that further evaluate wellness policy implementation

#### Practice

Focus on implementation for the complex high school environment

Assess practices pre- and post Healthy and Hunger Free Kids Act Explore opportunities for including family in school policy and programs

Examine intersection of family and school settings for adolescents

Policy efforts should prioritize racial and ethnic minority youth at the highest risk of overweight and obesity

### **Acknowledgements**

#### Jim VanDerslice PhD, Rebecca Utz PhD, Norman Waitzman PhD, Elizabeth Joy, MD, MPH

## **School Wellness Publications**

Metos JM, Nanney MS. The strength of school wellness policies: one state's experience. Journal of School Health. 2007; 77: 367-372.

Tsai LL, Jordan KC, Metos JM, Nanney MS. Nutrient Quality of Competitive Foods in Two Utah Middle Schools. Utah's Health: An Annual Review, 2009: XIV, 56-61.

Coffield JE, Metos JM, Utz RL, Waitzman NJ. A Multivariate Analysis of Federally Mandated School Wellness Policies on Adolescent Obesity. Journal of Adolescent Health 2011(9) 46-55.

Metos JM, Murtaugh M. Words or reality: Are school district wellness policies implemented? A systematic review of the literature. Childhood Obesity. 2011: 7(2) 90-100

Lanier WA, Wagstaff RS, Friedrichs MD, Metos JM. Factors Associated with Teacher Awareness and Implementation of Food and Physical Activity Policies in Utah Elementary Schools. Preventing Chronic Disease, 2012: January 9: E:18. Epub December 15, 2011.