



#### Transit use, physical activity, and body mass index changes: objective measures associated with complete street light rail construction

Active Living Research, 2/23/2015, San Diego, CA

Barbara B. Brown, Carol M. Werner, Calvin P. Tribby, Harvey J. Miller, & Ken R. Smith

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported (in part) by grant number CA157509 from the National Cancer Institute at the National Institutes of Health and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

Forthcoming, American Journal of Public Health

### Salt Lake City historically had lots of active transportation & healthy weight





Undated, Main St. Salt Lake City

## Might the current "Complete Streets" movement provide better PA support?

 Complete Streets reconceptualize roads as habitats for pedestrians & cyclists not just cars



tForAmerica 2009

### Complete Streets policies increasingly popular

Policy supports adopted by

- 30 states
- 665 regional or local jurisdictions
- Implementation is still a workin-progress
- Evaluation for health benefits is rare



National Complete Streets Coalition



Key: Blue: Laws & Ordinances | Red: Resolutions | Yellow: Tax Ordinances | Purple: Internal Policies or Executive Orders | Magenta: Plans | Green: Design Manuals or Guides | Cyan: Policies Adopted by Elected Boards



#### Before & after "Complete Street" intervention

#### Before (2012)



- No TRAX light rail
- No bike lane
- Narrow sidewalk
- 3 lanes, each direction
- No pedestrian lighting
- Overhead power lines



After (2013)

- TRAX light rail
- Bike lane
- Wide sidewalk
- 2 lanes, each direction
- Landscaping
- Pedestrian lights
- No overhead power lines
- One connection to Front Runner commuter rail

#### 6

# Method: In-home recruitment & data collection, 2012 & 2013









- 2 home visits each year
- Typically 1week apart
- Height, weight, surveys at home
- Instructed to wear devices

#### Our sample (537 w GPS data both years)

- 51% female, 25% Hispanic
- Lived in home 7.5 years
  - But 25% only 1 year
  - 57% in single family detached housing
  - 47% rent their dwelling
- 68% employed
- 24% up to high school completed;
  37% college grads
- \$30-40,000 median household income







#### Weight status of the sample

BMI = (Pounds /Inches<sup>2</sup> \* 703) or Kg/meters<sup>2</sup>)



Weight category	BMI measure
Obese	≥30
Overweight	25-29.9
Healthy weight	18.5-24.9
Underweight	≤ 18.5

#### Travel patterns measured by GPS data loggers & accelerometers

Worn together for about a week each time



Wearable GPS GlobalSat DG-100



Activity Monitor Actigraph GT3X+





End of the week data upload at home reveals the natural ecology of healthy activity bouts

- The resident sees this 3-min bout
- To help with recall

This example = 0.1 mile or .16 km



GeoStats application





GeoStats used GPS traces and speed to define Complete Street transit-related trips

- A "N. Temple trip" = one that intersected an area 40 meters above or below North Temple
- And involves TRAX, bus, or Front Runner ride
- Includes active transit somewhere along the whole trip, not just Complete Street section 12

#### 4 key groups contrasted based on any Complete St. transit use (bus, TRAX light rail, or Ft Runner rail)

	Never (n=393)	Continuing (n=51)	Former (n=41)	New (n= 52)	
Transit-related corridor trips:					
in 2012?	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	
in 2013?	Ν	Y	N	Y	
Expected activity change (2013 PA – 2012 PA):					
	None	None	Ļ	Î	
All analyses control for age, female, Hispanic, college graduate, married, self-reported health, days & temperature differences between measures					

### Transit ridership groups & activity change (2013-2012 accelerometer counts/min.)



\*\*p<.01 Never = control group

### Transit ridership groups & MVPA change (2013-2012

MVPA of ≥2020 accelerometer counts/min.)



## Transit ridership groups & sedentary activity change (2013-2012 Sedentary PA of < 100 accelerometer counts/min.)



\* p < .05, \*\*p < .01 Never = control group

#### BMI changes echo PA changes for transit rider groups (2013-2012)



\*\*p<.01 Never = control group

#### Active transportation is "stealth exercise"

- Health/exercise benefits = by-products of getting where you want to go (Brown & Werner, 2008)
- Community design can foster stealth exercise



### Complete Street proponents advocate for:

- Public health
  - Physical activity, obesity prevention, but also cleaner air & water
- Transportation alternatives
  - Youth & elder mobility; social equity
- Downtowns
  - Pro-transit & Transit-oriented development
- Compact development over sprawl
  - Open space preservation; fewer taxes for roads & sprawl; energy efficient housing & infrastructure



#### Advantages of complete street interventions

- Broad support across interest group lines
- Wide reach
- Embedded in policy & environmental changes
- Thus long lasting...



# ...and more appealingThen...Now....







Thank you! barbara.brown@fcs.utah.edu

Thanks to MAPS Staff & Grad Students: Staff: Kristi Flick, Doug Tharp Additional staff: Ryan Burns, Jonathan Gallimore, Ashlie Gilbert, Nancy Joyce, Victor Solis, Amelia Wilson Graduate students: Wyatt Jensen, Calvin Tribby

### AND THANKS TO THE EXCEPTIONAL RESEARCH ASSISTANTS

Eric Abbenante Lori Burke Juan Carlos Gonzalez Anne Leppert Lizbeth Martinez Londy Oliva Georgette Stormont Johanna Tovar

Ted Adams Matt Damon Julie Harper Danielle Long Fernanda Martins Mariana Perez Emily Stone Nathan Zick-Smith Austen Anderson Amanda Fountain Lauren Jensen Heidi Luft Brian Robison Austin Strebel Megan Carson Michael Billings Sam Gnanasundaram Craig Johnson Leslie Lund Sarah Noall Dianna Slater Nicolas Tang