DEVELOPING A PRACTICAL PROTOCOL TO DIAGNOSE A NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENT FOR HEALTHY COMMUNITY

EUN JUNG KIM &

JAECHEOL KIM

2015. 2. 25

PRESENTATION ORDER

- I. Background & Objectives
- II. Limitations of Existing Approaches to Healthy Environments
- **III.A Procedural Approach as An Alternative**
- IV. Developing ENAP (Enhanced Neighborhood Analysis Protocol)
- V. How to Use the Protocol: Demonstration Case Study

VI.Conclusions

I. Background & Objectives

- The main objective of the study is to develop a practical and strategic tool to promote healthy communities and demonstrate its potentials through an example case study.
- The importance of the connection between Environment and health has been acknowledged in literature and become popular as a political propaganda.
- Now, it is the time to move on to the next step. That is, researchers should focus more on actually how to promote healthy communities in the real world.

II. Limitations of Existing Approaches

- Literature focusing on verifying and demonstrating the influence of the environment on human health
- Approaches focusing on regional scale
- Few practical approaches looking for the way to build a healthy environment

E.g. SNAP (Smart or Sustainable Neighborhood Analysis Protocol)

→ Need for an enhanced procedural approach for real world improvement

III. Procedural Approach as an Alternative

Characteristics of the Procedural Approach Proposed in this

study

- Triangulation of data sources and research methods
- The mixture of qualitative and quantitative approaches
- Synthesis of global & local knowledge
- An effective way of community participation
- Standardized & context-sensitive procedure (or protocol)

IV. Developing ENAP

- Developed a standardized and context-sensitive protocol that
 - articulates the triangulation of multiple data types, sources and research methods;
 - 2) synthesizes global and local knowledge
 - 3) improve the efficiency of community participation
- In other words, questions that the study intended to address are practical ones, such as "Which places need improvement most urgently?" and "What should be improved?" rather than questions asking meaningless rankings like "Which city is the most healthy one?"

IV. Developing ENAP

ENAP Flow



ENAP Flow (Diagnosis)

• Site Selection → Map & Archival Data Analysis → Site

Investigation \rightarrow User Survey



Case Selection

- Selected an area whose urban structure is a representative one of the municipality to which it belong
- Selected Case: Changsin-dong, Seoul, Korea
 - A spontaneously formed, and deteriorated neighborhood
 - 0.6km x 1km (600,000m²)
 - Mixed uses (residential & small businesses)

제2종알반준거지역

종로5.071동

(숭인1동)

- Many small sewing factories
- Sloped topography

Map & Archival Data Analysis

- Preliminary Investigation to prepare the site investigation and user survey; Supplementary data to interpret the results of the latter
- Typical resources: base maps (online map services, GIS or CAD maps)

Goals	Sub goals or Strategies	Analysis Details				
More mixed uses to promote walk		- Distributions of facilities for most users (e.g., parks, waterfronts) & facilities for specific users (e.g., schools)				
Active Ling	Better pedestrian & bike connectivity	- Distribution of facilities facilitating walking & biking (e.g., pedestrian malls, bike roads & bike parking facilities)				
	Better public transit	- Locations and service levels of public transit, types and numbers of civil complaints related with public transit				
	Less traffic inducing facilities	- Locations and number of big box stores				
	Crime safety	- Locations of CCTV; Types and number of civil complaints related with crime safety				
Safety	Road traffic safety	- Number of lanes, average vehicle speed, intervals of crosswalk, traffic accident database				
	Food safety	- Locations and number of organic food stores				
Equity	Spatial distribution of public services	- Types, locations and number of facilities for the disabled or elderly				
	Noise, lighting, air & water pollution	- Distribution of polluters (noise, water & air pollution), civil complaint data related with various pollutions				
Comfort	Sun light & Ventilation	- Building heights & street widths, Civil complaint data related with sun light or ventilation				

Map & Archival Data Analysis: Example

- Example : Analysis of the facilities promote travel walk
- ✓ 10 community parks (26,822m², park area per person 1.2m²)
- ✓ 4 play grounds,
- ✓ 3 schools
- ✓ A stream and local market within walking distance from the site



Site Investigation

- With a prepared investigation instruction
- Objective measuring & Subjective evaluation
- By trained investigators

Goals	Sub goals or Strategies	Investigation Items				
Activo	More mixed uses to promote walk	- Distribution of street front shops				
Living	Better pedestrian & bike connectivity	- Level of pedestrian disconnection, paving status of pedestrian & bike roads,, width o sidewalks, overall convenience level of pedestrian and bike roads				
Crime Safety		- Pedestrian traffic volume, facilities for crime safety, overall crime safety level				
Safety	Road traffic safety	 Vehicle traffic volume, interferences between pedestrian and automobile traffics, perceived car speed, facilities for road safety, overall road safety of the area 				
Equity	Universal Design	- Overall mobility level and places inconvenient particularly for wheelchairs or strollers				
	Noise, lighting, air & water pollution	- Level of noises, smell , and sanitation				
Comfort	Public Design	- Messy and disordered streetscapes				
	Green landscape	- Visual exposure to green space				
Community	Neighborhood identity & Social activities	- Number of neighborhood places where people take rest, communicate with each other				

Site Investigation

- Standardized Protocol
 - ✓ Schedule
 - ✓ Routes
 - ✓ Checklists

일시	시간	창신동	서촌						
	12:20 - 14:20	중각역 스타벅스 미팅 (현장조사 관련 사전 교육)							
	15.50 14.50	조사원 : 이연수, 조등현, 전강은	조사원 : 박잎새, 장영훈, 김한별						
2014 9 29	14:30 - 15:00	창신등으로 이동 (중각역 -> 동대문역)	서촌으로 이동 (중각역 -> 정복궁역)						
(월)	15:00 - 18:30	주간 조사	주간 조사						
	18:30 - 20:00	저녁 및 휴식	저녁 및 휴식						
	20:00 - 23:00	야간 조사	야간 조사						
	23:00	조사지 제출 및 귀가	조사지 제출 및 귀가						



교통량 측정 모습)



Site Investigation

- Standardized Protocol
 - ✓ Schedule
 - ✓ Routes
 - ✓ Checklists



ENAP Site Investigation Checklist

V. How to Use the ENAP: Case Study

4) Investigator:

ral / 4. Good / 5. Very Good

Site Investigation

- Standardized Protocol
 - ✓ Schedule
 - ✓ Routes
 - ✓ Checklists
 - with samples



_		(I. Ve	1.9	Dat	1 /-	4.	Dau	-/_		neu	u a	/	4.	000	u /	э.	ve	Ty 000
1,	Active Living			Re	oute	1			Ro	oute	2			Ro	ute			Etc.
 (1) Ratio of street front retails (1) (0-20%), ② (20-40%), ③ (40-60%), (60-80%), ⑤ (80-100%) 			0	0	3	4	6	0	2	3	4	6	1	0	3	4	5	Photo
② Level of Pedestrian Disconnection (The degree that pedestrians are disturbed by driveway or high curb stones)			1	2	3	4	6	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
3	Pavement quality of pedestri bike paths	an or	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	0	3	4	5	1	0	3	4	5	
4	Sidewalk width ① ~ 0.5m ② 0.5~1.5m, ③ 1.5~2.5m ④ 1.5~2.5m, ⑤ 2.5m ~		0	2	3	4	5	0	0	3	4	6	1	0	3	4	5	
(5)	Overall convenience leve pedestrian and bike paths	el of	1	0	3	4	5	1	0	3	4	6	1	0	3	4	6	
2.	Safety			Ro	oute	1			Ro	oute	2			Ro	ute	3		Etc.
	(1) Pedestrian Traffic Volume	Day		pers	sons	s/5r	nin.		per	sons	:/5n	nin.		pers	sons	/5n	nin.	
с	(number of people per 5 min.)	Night		per	sons	s/5r	nin.		pera	sons	:/5n	nin.		pers	sons	/5n	nin.	
r	② Facilities or devices for	crime	CC	TV:				CC	rv:				CC	rv:				
i m	safety (CCTV, guard posts)		gua	ard (post	s:		gua	rd	post	s:		gua	rd j	post	s:		Mapping
e	(3) Overall perceived crime	Day	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	6	1	2	3	4	5	
	safety	Night	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	6	1	2	3	4	(5)	
	④ Vehicle traffic volume			vehi	icles	s/5r	nin.	3	veh	icles	s/5n	nin.		vehi	cles	/5n	nin.	
P	(5) Interference between pedes and automobiles	strians	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	(5)	
R o a	 Perceived vehicle speed very fast, ② fast, ③ neutral, slow, ⑤ very slow 			0	3	4	5	1	Ø	3	4	5	1	0	3	4	5	
a	⑦ Facilities for road safety		CC	LA:				CC	rv:				CC	rv:				Manalag
	(CCTV, humps)		hur	nps				hur	nps				hun	nps				wabburg
	(8) Overall perceived road safe	ety	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	(5)	
3.	Equity			Ro	oute				Ro	oute				Ro	ute			Etc.
1	Mobility for wheel chairs & str (Hight curb stones, pavement quali	ollers ty)	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	(5)	
② Obstacles against wheel chairs & strollers (humps, pavement quality, vehicle interference) * counting, mapping, photographing		cur pav side car etc.	bs: eme wall inte	nt: k wi erfer	dth: renc	xe:	cur pav side car etc.	bs: eme wall inte :	ent: k wi erfer	dth: renc	:e:	cur pav side car etc.	bs: eme wall inte :	nt: k wi erfer	dth: enc	e:	Mapping Photo	
4. Comfort		10000	Re	oute	1		1000000	Re	oute	2		COLORADO	Ro	ute	3		Etc.	
(1) Noise level (dB)						dB					dB					dB		
(2) Odor (waste, polluted water or exhaust gas)		1	2	3	(4)	(5)	1	2	3	(4)	(5)	1	2	3	(4)	(5)		
(3) Management (waste, cleaning condition)		0	2	3	(4)	(5)	1	2	(3)	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	(5)	Photo	
④ Messy and disordered landscape (Sign boards, stallholders, facades)		1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	Photo	
(5)	(5) Visually accessible green		1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	(5)	1	2	3	4	(5)	
5. Community			Re	oute	1			Re	oute	2			Ro	ute	3		Etc.	
 Quality of the place for communal activities 		1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	6	1	2	3	4	5	Mapping	
② Number of places for community activities (e.g., benches, cafes, pavilions)																		Photo

Site Investigation

• Analysis Example: Crime Safety



User Survey

- Users' subjective evaluation about the overall quality of the area
- Mapping problematic places

Goals	Sub goals or Strategies	Survey Contents					
	More mixed uses to promote walk	- Mapping places where they frequently visit on foot or bike					
Active Living	Better pedestrian & bike connectivity	- Evaluating the quality of pedestrian or bike routes					
	Crime Safety	- Evaluating overall perceived crime safety of the neighborhood					
Safaty	Chine Salety	- Mapping the most dangerous places for crime					
Salety	Road traffic safety	- Evaluating overall perceived road safety of the neighborhood					
	Road traine safety	- Mapping the most dangerous places for car accidents					
Equity	Universal Design	- Evaluating overall quality of the neighborhood for the disabled and elderly					
Comfort	Noise lighting air & water pollution/	- Evaluating overall comfort level of their neighborhood					
	Noise, lighting, all & water politition/	- Mapping the most uncomfortable places					
Community	Neighborhood identity & Social	- Mapping the places that they have an attachment					
Continuality	activities	- Mapping the places where they meet with their neighbors					

User Survey

Example Analysis: Crime Safety



Triangulation: Locating Problematic Places

- First, identify the places where both users and trained investigators marked as a problematic place
- Second, conduct site investigation again for the places where users marked as a problematic place but trained investigators did not
- Third, conduct additional user interview for the places where trained investigators marked as a problematic place but users did not
- According to the results from above triangulation, set up the priorities of the places for improvement





In-Depth Investigation of the Target Areas

- Summarize the results of the 1st Investigation (map & archival data analysis, site investigation & user survey)
- Conduct the in-depth investigation focusing on the target areas:
 Diversify investigation times and tools

1. Video recording	2. User interview					
 1 investigator 30 minutes * 3 ~ 5 times / day (morning; afternoon; evening; late night) The most critical spot of the area 	 2 interviewers Interviewees: visitors, residents & shop owners 					
3. Counting vehicle & pedestrian volume	4. Detailed field observation					
 5 minutes * 3 ~ 5 times / day (morning; afternoon; evening; late night) Use hand counters 	Measure of noiseLocation of street furniture					

In-Depth Investigation of the Target Areas

- Video Recording
 - ✓ Where? → the most important spot
 - ✓ For what? → to observe
 behaviors in the passage of
 time and interactions
 between different actors
 (e.g., cars vs. pedestrians)
 - ✓ Other uses? → As a part of interviews



In-Depth Investigation of the Target Areas

• Structured User Interviews

- ✓ Whom? → Users of the target area (residents; shop owners; visitors)
- ✓ Questions → general information of interviewees; their subjective evaluation on the environmental quality of the target area; their behavioral patterns of visiting and utilizing the area

Classification	Contents					
	Classification: Residents, Shop owners, Visitors					
Interviewee's	Familiarity with the target area					
Characteristics	 Demographic info. (age, profession, sex and family composition) 					
	Everyday life pattern (weekday/weekend)					
Environment	• Interviewee's overall evaluation on the target area (1. evaluation 2. major influencing factors 3. solutions)					
Quality of the	1) Active living: quality of pedestrian and bike paths; 2) Crime safety: CCTV & lighting; 3) Road safety:					
Target Area	motorcycles & cars; 4) Comfort: noise, landscape, odor, green spaces; 5) Priority of the problems					
	The time they usually visit the target area					
Benavioral Pattern	Visiting frequency					
in the raiget Area	Main activities they usually do at the target area					



VI. Conclusions

Potentials of ENAP

- Ensure general qualities and reflect local context
- Enhance the reliability of the neighborhood environment evaluation
- Provide an efficient participatory planning tool



V. How to Use the Protocol: Case Study

Discussions

- Who will be the main actor use the ENAP?
- In which stage of a project, will the ENAP be most useful?