Building Design and Site Attribute Predictors of Physical Activity (and some thoughts about policy opportunities)



Craig Zimring, Ph.D. Anjali Joseph, M. Arch Gayle Nicoll, M. Arch Sharon Tsepas, M. Arch, MCP

College of Architecture, Georgia Institute of Technology

#### Outline

- What do we know about how characteristics of buildings and sites affect physical activity?
- Low-hanging lettuce: Policydevelopment opportunities

# What factors likely affect people's decision to be active?



#### Physical activities in or near buildings

- Walking
- Biking
- Stair climbing
- Running
- Use of indoor exercise facilities on/off site
- Use of outdoor facilities on/off site
- Occupational/ household activities



#### Why will people do physical activity?



Intentional

#### Incidental

#### Hybrid

#### Environmental Factors at Different Scales





#### **Element Design: Stairs**



Source: Kerr, Nicole Angelique MPH, Centers for Disease Control Stairwell Project slide set

If people spent two more minutes per day going upstairs, they would burn an extra 5800 kcal per year, or 1.6 pounds...The average weight gain for US adults from 1990 to 2000 was 1 pound per year

> Source: Jim Sallis Ph.D., San Diego State University, Andy Danenberg, CDC

## Harvard Alumni Health Study

In a study of more than 11,000 men, climbing at least 20 floors per week resulted in a 20% lower risk of stroke or death from all causes

Source: Lee & Paffenbarger, 1998; Lee, personal communication

## **Stair Use Statistics**

#### Motivational Signage

Kerr J., Eves F., & Carroll D. (2001)

#### **Motivational Signage**

Anderson R. E., Franckowski S., et al (1998)

#### Motivational Signage and Aesthetic Upgrades

Boutelle, K., Jeffrey, R. W., Murray D. M., & Schmitz K. (2001)



#### Percentage stair use

## **Building Design**

- Building design: programming →schematic design → design development → detailed design
- Experiential qualities of buildings are global, relational and local







# State of the Evidence **Element Design**

#### **Stair Design**

- Point-of-Decision Prompts/Signage increase stair use (Anderson, 1998; Balmey, 1995; Brownell, 1980; Coleman, 2001, Kerr 2001)
- Attractive stairs encourage stair use (CDC, 2002, Boutelle, 2001)

#### **Exercise Room Design**

- Views into activity rooms encourages use and helps create culture that supports physical activity (Regnier, 1994)
- Views to outdoors and activity areas supports use of exercise room (Regnier, 1994)

# State of the Evidence Building Design: Programming

Activity

Programmed

Areas

### Layout and Configuration



Layout and configuration impact walking behavior at the building level (Peponis et al., 1997; UI-Haq, 2001; Gross& Zimring, 1992) Locating activity areas in central, visible areas promotes use (Regnier, 2002)

Providing shower/change facilities in buildings supports biking and walking to work (Vouri, et al.,1994) Attractors motivate pedestrian movement (Turner & Penn, 2000)

Providing visual connections aids wayfinding within buildings (Regnier, 2002)

Activity Inducing Areas



# Building Design: Programming & Schematic Design

#### Layout and Configuration







Activity Inducing Areas



### **Building Design: Design Attributes**

Design studies suggest that walking is increased by:

- Well integrated circulation with good wayfinding
- Seating spaces provided for support and rest
- Wide corridors
- Well lit paths
- Views to activity areas and outdoor spaces.
- Attractive routes (finishes, artwork, color, style)





#### Site: Site Design

#### Size matters, connectivity to offsite, connectivity within site and amenities

•Barriers to walking are perceived crime, bad weather, lack of enjoyable scenery, lack of perceived safety, difficulty in walking and not seeing others exercise (Clark, 1999, Henderson and Ainsworth, 2002; Wilcox, et al., 2000; Booth, Owen, Bauman, Clavisi, & Leslie, 2000)

•Pedestrian amenities such as visible sidewalks, seating areas and support features influence walking (State of Louisiana, 1998; Regnier, 2002, Turner &Penn, 2000)

•Aesthetic qualities of the site influence walking (Lee, 2000; Rapoport, 1977)

•Office workers (long term) are willing to walk longer distances to parking (Pushkarev and Zupan, 1975, Seniveratne, 1985)





## Site: Site Selection

#### It matters where you locate the building:

- Older adults who live in areas within walkable distance of green areas such parks and tree-lined streets live longer (Takano, et al., 2002)
- Older adults who reported shops, parks and beaches close to home were more likely to be physically active (Carnegie, 2002)
- Connections between public transit and workplace increase walking trips (O'Sullivan S & Morrall, J., 1996; Seneviratne, P.N., 1985)
- **Public office buildings are walking trip generators** (Zacharias, 2000)
- Under the right conditions individuals undertake non-work walking trips at work These conditions are:
  - 1. Nearby trip destinations
  - 2. Connectedness and integration to surroundings
  - 3. Optimum walking distance

(Pushkarev and Zupan, 1975; Seneviratne, 1985; Wegman & Jang, 1998; Hillier, 1993, Berrigan & Troiano, 2002).

### Mean walking distances from work

(Seneviratne, 1985)



## **Research Opportunities**

- Establish baselines: How much do people walk before, during, and after work? What are the trip-types?
- Develop and validate global, relational and local measures: building layout, views, local attractiveness, task support
- Explore selected urban-scale variables in sites and large buildings: destinations, route quality, connectivity
- Element Design: How does stair design and location affect use? How do views into activity areas affect use? How can we construct long interior paths?

## **Research Opportunities**

- How do views to others exercising impact individual decision making?
- What are the roles of attractors in generating movement? Nature and types of attractors?
- What is the role of pedestrian amenities (fountains, seating, bike racks, etc.)? Facilities? (exercise rooms, changing/shower rooms)
- What are appropriate measures? (objective vs. self-report; operationalization of design elements)

#### Building Design and Site Attribute Predictors

- What is a working model for studying links between building and site characteristics and physical activity? What is the state of the evidence?
- What are the possible points of intervention to produce more activityfriendly sites and buildings?
- What can we do?

#### Outline

- What do we know about how characteristics of buildings and sites affect physical activity?
- Policy-development opportunities

# Why public buildings?

- Decision-making is concentrated
- Public agencies have cradle-to-grave responsibility and are programmatic in their perspective
- Public agencies can be innovative
- Public agencies can be catalytic
- Public buildings have symbolic importance





### Identifying High Leverage Opportunities for Promoting Physical Activity







The building industry is structured in a systematic manner

There are developed roles and practices that define the participants and their roles in the process

## **Policy Opportunities**

- Develop a learning consortium for top decision makers
- Education modules for students, design professionals, owners, managers
- Certification standards
- Professional recognition and awards

# **Policy Opportunities**

- Develop programmatic support
  - Plug-in language: model language for budgeting, programs, commissioning, request for proposals
  - Plug-in procedures: health-impact assessment, value engineering, facility performance assessment, balanced scorecards
  - Design guidelines
- Illustrated codes
- Case library with best practice examples (hopefully evaluated!!)



#### Tasks affecting Active Living

- Needs Assessment
- Protocol Development
- Budget Preparation
- Capital Planning
- Site Acquisition
- Consulting Team Selection

#### **Tools & Controls**

- Program Guidelines
- Budget Guidelines
- Assessment Protocols
- Site Acquisition Protocols
- Service Acquisition Protocols







#### **Tasks affecting Active Living**

- Maintenance Program
- Repair Issues
- Security Issues
- Tenant Churn and Marketing

#### Tools & Controls

- Post-Occupancy Evaluations
- Operations Manuals
- Service Programs & Manuals
- Marketing Programs



#### **Tasks affecting Active Living**

- Changes in Material and Configuration of Building to accommodate Program Changes
- Changes in Material and Systems of Building to accommodate Technological Changes

#### **Tools & Controls**

- Post-Occupancy Evaluations
- Building Codes
- Insurance
   Requirements
- Program Guidelines
- Budget Guidelines
- Assessment Protocols

## What's next?

- Research: assess baselines, create measures, examine the role of layout, design attributes, elements on activity
- Implementation: create awareness, buy-in by decision-makers, enlist organizations, create programmatic support

## It's all downhill from here









#### Identifying High Leverage Opportunities for Promoting Physical Activity

#### **Building Types**

#### Building Delivery System

#### High Leverage Opportunities for Promoting Physical Activity

Optimize Number of People or Focus on Vulnerable Populations

Organizations which establish or reflect Quality of Life issues

Organization that have goals and priorities or are accountable to Public Health Issues

Organizations with Operational Structures which utilizes informational/regulatory tools for implementing policy

#### **User Types**

#### Lifecycle Stages of a Building

#### Building Design and Site Attribute Predictors Presentation Outline

- How does the design of buildings and sites affect participation in physical activity
- How is the building industry structured and where are the high-leverage opportunities for policy, research and implementation?
- What can we do?



