Transit and Physical Activity Studies: Design and Measures Considerations From the TRAC Study

Brian E. Saelens, Ph.D. University of Washington Seattle Children's Research Institute



Objectives

- Rationale for interest in transit and PA
 - Prior physical activity links to public transportation
- Cross-sectional evidence
 - Related threats to conclusions about physical activity
- TRAC study design (NIH R01 HL091881)
 - Case/control selection
 - Measures





Fig. 2. Hypothetical model of walking trips associated with transit use.

Wasfi 2013 Health Place



Walking Trips to/from Transit



FIGURE 1-Total daily walking trip times to and from transit: United States, 2009 National Household Travel Survey.

Median = 21 minutes walking

Freeland 2013 AJPH



UW Medicine SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Different Design Options

Research design options (cross-sectional)

- Compare transit users versus non-users
 - In overall physical activity
 - In transit-specific physical activity
 - In person-day level examining both overall and specific transit-specific
- Threats to conclusions
 - Self-selection bias
 - Third variable confounding
 - Substitution
 - Being active through public transportation may substitute for other physical activity
 - Measuring both global and transit-specific physical activity



Differences in Daily PA minutes by Transit Usage



Lachapelle 2011 J Phy Act Health



Transit Frequency and Type of Walking/PA





Baseline Transit-Related Physical Activity



Rissel Evidence Review

- 27 studies
- Between 8-33 minutes of physical activity associated with public transport (several studies 12-15 minutes)
- 10-29% of population met 30+ minutes of daily physical activity (recommended) just by public transport-related walking

Rissel 2012 Int J Environ Res Public Health



Travel Assessment and Community - TRAC Project

- A natural experiment in which an environment changed (light rail line opening)
 - Addresses some concern about residential self-selection confounding
 - Relative to a demographically and built environment matched sample
 - Examine <u>behavior</u> change in response to <u>environmental</u> change (temporality)
- Use the best possible set of methods to assess physical activity and context
- Multiple similar assessments
 - Baseline (during the 1 year prior to LRT opening)
 - Post 1 (1-2 years after LRT opened)
 - Post 2 (3-4 years after LRT opened)





TRAC Recruitment

- Group-matched <u>cohort</u> design
 - 'Cases' adults living < 1 mile from (future) LRT station
 - 'Controls' adults in county living >1 mile from (future) LRT station
- Additional eligibility
 - ≥ 18 years old
 - Able to walk outside home
 - English-speaking or willing to speak through interpreter
 - Living at this residence for > 1 year (and residence built > 3 years ago) and no current intentions to move
 - Contacted via public record information (address/phone)

W Medicine

TRAC Baseline Demographics, Physical Activity, and Transit By Condition

	Control (n=354)	Case (n=353)
Age	51 (13)	52 (13)
Male (%)	37%	40%
Household income (median)	60-69K	60-69K
Race/ethnicity (% non-Hispanic white)*	87%	76%
Employed (%)	68%	63%
Single person household (%)	39%	42%
Daily physical activity minutes (1000+ cpm, continuous)	83 (39)	83 (37)
Daily MVPA minutes (1952+ cpm, continuous)	41 (27)	41 (25)
Daily walking minutes (in bouts)	25.7 (24.9)	30.4 (35.1)
Daily transit-related walking minutes (in bouts)	2.9 (7)	3.0 (7.8)
Transit use (trips)	2.8 (5.2)	2.8 (5.6)
- No trips	61%	57%
- 1-5 trips	21%	25%
- 6+ trips	18%	18%

TRAC 'participant neighborhood' summary

- Participant's neighborhood defined as area within a ½-mile radius of residence, containing 539 acres; about a 10-minute walk
- Land use
 - 6.3 dwelling units per acre (range: 1 30)
 - 5.3 jobs per acre (range: 0 272)
 - 16 acres of parkland (range: 0 220)
- Food & beverage destinations
 - -1 supermarket (range: 0-5)
 - 3 traditional restaurants (range: 0 120)
 - 3 fast-food restaurants (range: 0 26)
 - 4 coffee shops (range: 0 92)
- Transportation
 - 16 miles of streets, excluding freeways (range: 5.4 23)
 - 176 intersections (range: 47 342)
 - 0 miles of off-street trails (0 1.5 miles)

TRAC Participant Flow By Condition

Sampling, Recruitment, Retention: Lessons Learned

- Engage built environment experts to 'match' as best as possible
- If possible, find alternatives to marketing/public records information to augment recruiting
 - That retains random sampling
 - Considering your research question
- Incentivize (creatively)!
- Double or triple anticipated recruitment time line (start early)

TRAC Methods

- Three time points (baseline, near post, far post)
- Individual participant tracked by month/season, not duration since last assessed
- Demographic/attitudinal/psychosocial survey
- Device-based and trip report integration (for 7 days) to measure physical activity
 - Accelerometer
 - Portable GPS
 - Travel log (place-based)

Hurvitz 2014 Front Public Health

Kang 2013 MSSE

Comparison of Self-Report and Integrated Objective

Measures: Lessons Learned

- Cross-measure integration was helpful in obtaining better precision and more information (type, context, etc)
- Carefully examine data even beyond descriptive analysis
 - Know limitations your devices
 - On-going vigilance from staff
- Need a better way to distinguish utilitarian versus recreational walking

Other Considerations

- Transit users versus non-users
 - Switch to light rail transit versus not switching
 - Examine impacts on movers into 'intervention' area
- Changes in built environment or other aspects of transportation system

Further Analyses: Reconsider 'Caseness'?

Acknowledgments

- Funders NIH-NHLBI (R01 HL091881) & TransNow
- Anne Vernez Moudon
- Philip Hurvitz
- Bumjoon Kang
- Eric Howard
- Orion Stewart
- Chuan Zhou
- My excellent research staff
- Others in the University of Washington Urban Form Lab (staff & students)

Citations

- Freeland, A. L., Banerjee, S. N., Dannenberg, A. L., & Wendel, A. M. (2013). Walking associated with public transit: moving toward increased physical activity in the United States. *Am J Public Health, 103*(3), 536-542. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2012.300912
- Kang, B., Moudon, A. V., Hurvitz, P. M., Reichley, L., & Saelens, B. E. (2013). Walking objectively measured: classifying accelerometer data with GPS and travel diaries. *Med Sci Sports Exerc, 45*(7), 1419-1428. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318285f202
- Hurvitz, P. M., Moudon, A. V., Kang, B., Saelens, B. E., & Duncan, G. E. (2014). Emerging technologies for assessing physical activity behaviors in space and time. *Front Public Health, 2*, 2. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2014.00002
- Lachapelle U, Frank L, Saelens BE, Sallis JF, Conway TL. Commuting by public transit and physical activity: where you live, where you work, and how you get there. *J Phys Act Health.* 2011;8 Suppl 1:S72-82.
- Moudon, Saelens, Hallenbeck, and Rutherford (2009). A report on participant sampling and recruitment for travel and physical activity data collection. Available at: ntl.bts.gov/lib/31000/31700/31738/VernezMoudon_EffectofLight_Rail.pdf
- Rissel, C., Curac, N., Greenaway, M., & Bauman, A. (2012). Physical activity associated with public transport use--a review and modelling of potential benefits. *Int J Environ Res Public Health, 9*(7), 2454-2478.
- Saelens, B. E., Vernez Moudon, A., Kang, B., Hurvitz, P. M., & Zhou, C. (2014). Relation between higher physical activity and public transit use. *Am J Public Health*, *104*(5), 854-859. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2013.301696
- Wasfi, R. A., Ross, N. A., & El-Geneidy, A. M. (2013). Achieving recommended daily physical activity levels through commuting by public transportation: Unpacking individual and contextual influences. *Health Place, 23C*, 18-25.

